


ADVANCED SORTING

- Review of Sorting
- Merge Sort
- Sets
- Quick Sort
- How Fast Can We Sort?



Sorting Algorithms

- **Selection Sort** uses a priority queue P implemented with an unsorted sequence:
 - **Phase 1**: the insertion of an item into P takes $O(1)$ time; overall $O(n)$
 - **Phase 2**: removing an item takes time proportional to the number of elements in P $O(n)$: overall $O(n^2)$
 - **Time Complexity**: $O(n^2)$

Sorting Algorithms (cont.)

- **Insertion Sort** is performed on a priority queue P which is a sorted sequence:
 - **Phase 1:** the first **insertItem** takes $O(1)$, the second $O(2)$, until the last **insertItem** takes $O(n)$: overall $O(n^2)$
 - **Phase 2:** removing an item takes $O(1)$ time; overall $O(n)$.
 - **Time Complexity:** $O(n^2)$
- **Heap Sort** uses a priority queue K which is a heap.
 - **insertItem** and **removeMin** each take $O(\log k)$, k being the number of elements in the heap at a given time.
 - **Phase 1:** n elements inserted: $O(n \log n)$ time
 - **Phase 2:** n elements removed: $O(n \log n)$ time.
 - **Time Complexity:** $O(n \log n)$


Divide-and-Conquer

- *Divide and Conquer* is more than just a military strategy, it is also a method of algorithm design that has created such efficient algorithms as [Merge Sort](#).
- In terms of algorithms, this method has three distinct steps:
 - **Divide**: If the input size is too large to deal with in a straightforward manner, divide the data into two or more disjoint subsets.
 - **Recur**: Use divide and conquer to solve the subproblems associated with the data subsets.
 - **Conquer**: Take the solutions to the subproblems and “merge” these solutions into a solution for the original problem.



Merge-Sort

- Algorithm:
 - **Divide**: If S has at least two elements (nothing needs to be done if S has zero or one elements), remove all the elements from S and put them into two sequences, S_1 and S_2 , each containing about half of the elements of S . (i.e. S_1 contains the first $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ elements and S_2 contains the remaining $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ elements).
 - **Recur**: Recursively sort sequences S_1 and S_2 .
 - **Conquer**: Put back the elements into S by merging the sorted sequences S_1 and S_2 into a unique sorted sequence.
- Merge Sort Tree:
 - Take a binary tree T
 - Each node of T represents a recursive call of the merge sort algorithm.
 - We associate with each node v of T a the set of input passed to the invocation v represents.
 - The external nodes are associated with individual elements of S , upon which no recursion is called.



Merge-Sort




Merge-Sort(cont.)




Merge-Sort (cont.)





Merge-Sort (cont.)





Merge-Sort (cont.)




Merge-Sort (cont.)




Merge-Sort (cont.)





Merge-Sort(cont.)





Merge-Sort (cont.)



Merge-Sort (cont.)



Merge-Sort (cont.)



Merging Two Sequences

- Pseudo-code for merging two sorted sequences into a unique sorted sequence

Algorithm merge ($S1, S2, S$):

Input: Sequence $S1$ and $S2$ (on whose elements a total order relation is defined) sorted in nondecreasing order, and an empty sequence S .



Output: Sequence S containing the union of the elements from $S1$ and $S2$ sorted in nondecreasing order; sequence $S1$ and $S2$ become empty at the end of the execution

```
while  $S1$  is not empty and  $S2$  is not empty do  
    if  $S1.first().element() \leq S2.first().element()$  then  
        { move the first element of  $S1$  at the end of  $S$  }  
         $S.insertLast(S1.remove(S1.first()))$   
    else  
        { move the first element of  $S2$  at the end of  $S$  }  
         $S.insertLast(S2.remove(S2.first()))$   
while  $S1$  is not empty do  
     $S.insertLast(S1.remove(S1.first()))$   
    { move the remaining elements of  $S2$  to  $S$  }  
while  $S2$  is not empty do  
     $S.insertLast(S2.remove(S2.first()))$ 
```

Merging Two Sequences (cont.)




- Some pictures:

a)






S

b)






Merging Two Sequences (cont.)

c)




d)




Merging Two Sequences (cont.)

e)



f)




Merging Two Sequences (cont.)

g)

S_1 (85)


S_2 (96)



h)

S_1

S_2 (96)




Merging Two Sequences (cont.)

i)

S_1

S_2



Java Implementation of Merge-Sort

- Interface SortObject

```
public interface SortObject {  
    //sort sequence S in nondecreasing order  
    using compartor c  
    public void sort (Sequence S, Comparator c);  
}
```

Java Implementation of Merge-Sort(cont.)

```
public class ListMergeSort implements SortObject {
    public void sort(Sequence S, Comparator c) {
        int n = S.size();
        if (n < 2) return; // a sequence with 0 or
        1 element is already sorted.
        // divide
        Sequence S1 = (Sequence)S.newContainer();
        // put the first half of S into S1
        for (int i=1; i <= (n+1)/2; i++) {
            S1.insertLast(S.remove(S.first()));
        }
        Sequence S2 = (Sequence)S.newContainer();
        // put the second half of S into S2
        for (int i=1; i <= n/2; i++) {
            S2.insertLast(S.remove(S.first()));
        }
        sort(S1,c); // recur
        sort(S2,c);
        merge(S1,S2,c,S); // conquer
    }
}
```


Java Implementation of Merge-Sort(cont.)

```
public void merge(Sequence S1, Sequence S2,
    Comparator c, Sequence S) {
    while(!S1.isEmpty() && !S2.isEmpty()) {
        if(c.isLessThanOrEqualTo(S1.first().element(),
            S2.first().element())) {
            // S1's 1st elt <= S2's 1st elt
            S.insertLast(S1.remove(S1.first()));
        }
        else { // S2's 1st elt is the smaller one
            S.insertLast(S2.remove(S2.first()));
        }
    }

    if(S1.isEmpty()) {
        while(!S2.isEmpty()) {
            S.insertLast(S2.remove(S2.first()));
        }
    }
    if(S2.isEmpty()) {
        while(!S1.isEmpty()) {
            S.insertLast(S1.remove(S1.first()));
        }
    }
}
```

Running Time of Merge-Sort

- **Proposition 1:** The merge-sort tree associated with the execution of a merge-sort on a sequence of n elements has a height of $\lceil \log n \rceil$
- **Proposition 2:** A merge sort algorithm sorts a sequence of size n in $O(n \log n)$ time
- We assume only that the input sequence S and each of the sub-sequences created by each recursive call of the algorithm can access, insert to, and delete from the first and last nodes in $O(1)$ time.
- We call the time spent at node v of merge-sort tree T the running time of the recursive call associated with v , excluding the recursive calls sent to v 's children.

Running Time of Merge-Sort (cont.)

- If we let i represent the depth of node v in the merge-sort tree, the time spent at node v is $O(n/2^i)$ since the size of the sequence associated with v is $n/2^i$.
- Observe that T has exactly 2^i nodes at depth i . The total time spent at depth i in the tree is then $O(2^i n/2^i)$, which is $O(n)$. We know the tree has height $\lceil \log n \rceil$

Therefore, the time complexity is $O(n \log n)$

Set ADT

- A **Set** is a data structure modeled after the mathematical notation of a set. The fundamental set operations are *union*, *intersection*, and *subtraction*.
- A brief aside on mathematical set notation:
 - $A \cup B = \{ x: x \in A \text{ or } x \in B \}$
 - $A \cap B = \{ x: x \in A \text{ and } x \in B \}$
 - $A - B = \{ x: x \in A \text{ and } x \notin B \}$
- The specific methods for a Set A include the following:
 - **union(B):**
Set A equal to $A \cup B$.
 - **intersect(B):**
Set A equal to $A \cap B$.
 - **subtract(B):**
Set A equal to $A - B$.

Generic Merging

Algorithm `genericMerge(A, B)`:

Input: Sorted sequences A and B

Output: Sorted sequence C

let A' be a copy of A { We won't destroy A and B }

let B' be a copy of B

while A' and B' are not empty **do**

$a \leftarrow A'.\text{first}()$

$b \leftarrow B'.\text{first}()$

if $a < b$ **then**

`aIsLess(a, C)`

$A'.\text{removeFirst}()$

else if $a = b$ **then**

`bothAreEqual(a, b, C)`

$A'.\text{removeFirst}()$

$B'.\text{removeFirst}()$

else

`bIsLess(b, C)`

$B'.\text{removeFirst}()$

while A' is not empty **do**

$a \leftarrow A'.\text{first}()$

`aIsLess(a, C)`

$A'.\text{removeFirst}()$

while B' is not empty **do**

$b \leftarrow B'.\text{first}()$

`bIsLess(b, C)`

$B'.\text{removeFirst}()$

Set Operations

- We can specialize the generic merge algorithm to perform set operations like union, intersection, and subtraction.
- The generic merge algorithm examines and compare the current elements of A and B .
- Based upon the outcome of the comparison, it determines if it should copy one or none of the elements a and b into C .
- This decision is based upon the particular operation we are performing, i.e. union, intersection or subtraction.
- For example, if our operation is union, we copy the smaller of a and b to C and if $a=b$ then it copies either one (say a).
- We define our copy actions in **aIsLess**, **bothAreEqual**, and **bIsLess**.
- Let's see how this is done ...

Set Operations (cont.)

- For union

```
public class UnionMerger extends Merger {  
    protected void alsLess(Object a, Object b, Sequence C) {  
        C.insertLast(a);  
    }  
    protected void bothAreEqual(Object a, Object b,  
        Sequence C) {  
        C.insertLast(a);  
    }  
    protected void blsLess(Object b, Sequence C) {  
        C.insertLast(b);  
    }  
}
```

- For intersect

```
public class IntersectMerger extends Merger {  
    protected void alsLess(Object a, Object b, Sequence C) {  
    }  
    protected void bothAreEqual(Object a, Object b,  
        Sequence C) {  
        C.insertLast(a);  
    }  
    protected void blsLess(Object b, Sequence C) { }  
}
```

Set Operations (cont.)



- For subtraction

```
public class SubtractMerger extends Merger {  
    protected void alsLess(Object a, Object b,  
                            Sequence C) {  
        C.insertLast(a);  
    }  
  
    protected void bothAreEqual(Object a, Object b,  
                                 Sequence C) {  
    }  
  
    protected void blsLess(Object b, Sequence C) {  
    }  
}
```


Quicksort

**Thank goodness! It's
Quicksort Man! Help me!**

**I'm on my way,
Bubble Sort Man.**




Quick-Sort

- To understand quick-sort, let's look at a high-level description of the algorithm
- 1) **Divide** : If the sequence S has 2 or more elements, select an element x from S to be your **pivot**. Any arbitrary element, like the last, will do. Remove all the elements of S and divide them into 3 sequences:
 - L , holds S 's elements less than x
 - E , holds S 's elements equal to x
 - G , holds S 's elements greater than x
- 2) **Recurse**: Recursively sort L and G
- 3) **Conquer**: Finally, to put elements back into S in order, first inserts the elements of L , then those of E , and those of G .
- Here are some pretty diagrams....

Idea of Quick Sort

1. Select


pick *an* element



2. Devide


rearrange elements
so that

- **x** goes to its **final position E**





3. Recurse and Conquer



recursively sort





Quick-Sort Tree





Quick-Sort Tree





Quick-Sort Tree





Quick-Sort Tree





Quick-Sort Tree





Quick-Sort Tree





Quick-Sort Tree





Quick-Sort Tree





Quick-Sort Tree





Quick-Sort Tree





Quick-Sort Tree





Quick-Sort Tree





Quick-Sort Tree



Quick-Sort Tree




Quick-Sort Tree





In-Place Quick-Sort




- **Divide step:** l scans the sequence from the left, and r from the right.




- A swap is performed when l is at an element larger than the pivot and r is at one smaller than the pivot.



In Place Quick Sort (contd.)



- A final swap with the pivot completes the divide step



In Place Quick Sort code

```
public class ArrayQuickSort implements SortObject {

    public void sort(Sequence S, Comparator c){
        quicksort(S, C, 0, S.size()-1);
    }

    private void quicksort (Sequence S, Comparator c,
                            int leftBound,
                            int rightBound) {
        // left and rightmost ranks of
        // sorting range

        if (S.size() < 2) return; //a sequence with 0 or
        // 1 elements is already
sorted

        if (leftBound >= rightBound) return; //terminate
        //recursion

        // pick the pivot as the current last
        // element in range

        Object pivot = S.atRank(rightBound).element();
        // indices used to scan the sorting range

        int leftIndex = leftBound; // will scan
        // rightward

        int rightIndex = rightBound - 1; //will scan
        // leftward
    }
}
```

In Place Quick Sort code (contd.)

```
// outer loop
while (leftIndex <= rightIndex) {

    //scan rightward until an element larger than
    //the pivot is found or the indices cross
    while ((leftIndex <= rightIndex) &&
           (c.isLessThanOrEqualTo
            (S.atRank(leftIndex).element(),pivot))
           leftIndex++;

    //scan leftward until an element smaller than
    //the pivot is found or the indices cross
    while (rightIndex >= leftIndex) &&
           (c.isGreaterThanOrEqualTo
            (S.atRank(rightIndex).element(),pivot))
           rightIndex--;

    //if an element larger than the pivot and an
    //element smaller than the pivot have been
    //found, swap them
    if (leftIndex < rightIndex)
        S.swap(S.atRank(leftIndex),S.atRank(rightIndex));

} // the outer loop continues until
  // the indices cross. End of outer loop.
```

In Place Quick Sort code (contd.)

```
//put the pivot in its place by swapping it
//with the element at leftIndex
S.swap(S.atRank(leftIndex),S.atRank(rightBound));

// the pivot is now at leftIndex, so recur
// on both sides
quicksort (S, c, leftBound, leftIndex-1);
quickSort (S, c, leftIndex+1, rightBound);
} // end quicksort method
} // end ArrayQuickSort class
```

Analysis of Running Time

- Consider a quick-sort tree T :
 - Let $s_i(n)$ denote the sum of the input sizes of the nodes at depth i in T .
- We know that $s_0(n) = n$ since the root of T is associated with the entire input set.
- Also, $s_1(n) = n - 1$ since the pivot is not propagated.
- Thus: either $s_2(n) = n - 3$, or $n - 2$ (if one of the nodes has a zero input size).
- The worst case running time of a quick-sort is then:

$$O\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} s_i(n)\right)$$

Which reduces to:

$$O\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (n-i)\right) = O\left(\sum_{i=1}^n i\right) = O(n^2)$$

- Thus quick-sort runs in time $O(n^2)$ in the worst case.

Analysis of Running Time (contd.)

- Now to look at the best case running time:
- We can see that quicksort behaves optimally if, whenever a sequence S is divided into subsequences L and G , they are of equal size.
- More precisely:
 - $s_0(n) = n$
 - $s_1(n) = n - 1$
 - $s_2(n) = n - (1 + 2) = n - 3$
 - $s_3(n) = n - (1 + 2 + 2^2) = n - 7$
 - ...
 - $s_i(n) = n - (1 + 2 + 2^2 + \dots + 2^{i-1}) = n - 2^i + 1$
 - ...
- This implies that T has height $O(\log n)$
- Best Case Time Complexity: $O(n \log n)$

Randomized Quick-Sort

- Select the pivot as a *random* element of the sequence
- The expected running time of randomized quick-sort on a sequence of size n is $O(n \log n)$
- The time spent at a level of the quick-sort tree is $O(n)$
- We show that the *expected height* of the quick-sort tree is $O(\log n)$
- good vs. bad pivots



- *good*: $1/4 \leq n_L/n \leq 3/4$
- *bad*: $n_L/n < 1/4$ **or** $n_L/n > 3/4$
- the probability of a good pivot is $1/2$, thus we expect $k/2$ good pivots out of k pivots
- after a good pivot the size of each child sequence is at most $3/4$ the size of the parent sequence
- After h pivots, we expect $(3/4)^{h/2} n$ elements
- the expected height h of the quick-sort tree is at most:
$$2 \log_{4/3} n$$