# The Generation of Finite Alphabet Codewords With No Mutual Cyclic Shift Equivalence <sup>1</sup>

M.G.Parker, S.J.Shepherd, Telecommunications Research Group, Department of Electronic and Electrical Engineering, University of Bradford, Bradford, BD7 1DP, UK. e-mail: mgparker@bradford.ac.uk

#### Abstract

This paper shows how to directly compute a largest set,  $\mathbf{C}$ , of length N codewords over a given finite alphabet, such that no codeword in  $\mathbf{C}$  is a cyclic shift of another codeword in  $\mathbf{C}$ . The method uses finite integer rings and can be used to generate irreducible polynomials.

**Definitions:**  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  is the largest integer value  $\leq x$ .  $\langle a \rangle_m$  means the residue of a, mod m. ord<sub>m</sub>(a) is the order of a, mod m, i.e.  $\langle a^i \rangle_m \neq 1$ , 0 < i < n,  $\langle a^n \rangle_m = 1$ . Rt(n, m) is an  $n^{\text{th}}$ root of 1, mod m. Thus ord<sub>m</sub>(Rt(n, m)) = n.

## 1 Introduction

Consider the finite alphabet,  $\mathbf{A}$ , and consider the set,  $\mathbf{V}$ , of all messages,  $\mathbf{v}$ , of length N over A. Thus,

$$\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}, \quad \mathbf{v} = (v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{N-1}), \quad v_k \in \mathbf{A}, \quad \forall k$$

Let the  $f^{\text{th}}$ right cyclic shift operation,  $s(\mathbf{v}, f)$ , be defined as follows,

$$s(\mathbf{v},f) = (v_{\langle -f \rangle_N}, v_{\langle 1-f \rangle_N}, \dots, v_{\langle N-1-f \rangle_N})$$

Consider the codeset  $\mathbf{C} \subset \mathbf{V}$ , such that,

$$\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{C} \text{ iff } \mathbf{v}' = s(\mathbf{v}, f) \notin \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{v}' \neq \mathbf{v}, \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}, 0 < f < N$$
(1)

In other words, **C** comprises representative members of **V**, such that **V** is generated by the repeated operation of s on **C**. Moreover, the repeated operation of s on **C** never maps a member of **C** back into another member of **C**. The codeset, **C**, is not uniquely defined by (1) as there are many possible representatives. **C** is of interest because there are important functions, H, acting on members of **V**, which remain invariant under s (shift-invariant), and **C** is a smallest subset of **V** such that,

$$H(\mathbf{C}) = H(\mathbf{V})$$

where,

$$H(\mathbf{v}) = H(s(\mathbf{v}, f)), \quad \forall f$$
(2)

Moreover,

$$H(\mathbf{c}) \neq H(\mathbf{c}'), \quad \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{c}' \in \mathbf{C}, \quad \mathbf{c} \neq \mathbf{c}'$$

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ The work described in this paper was supported by EPSRC grant ref: GR/K48914

For instance, if one enumerates members of  $\mathbf{A}$  arbitrarily, and then performs the N-point Discrete Fourier Transform of  $\mathbf{v}$ , given by,

$$u_n = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} v_k e^{\frac{2\pi j n k}{N}} = \text{DFT}_n(\mathbf{v})$$

then H could be chosen to satisfy (2) if  $H(\mathbf{v}) = \max(|\operatorname{DFT}_n(\mathbf{v})|)$ . **C** would be a useful 'smaller search space' over which to look for 'ideal' channel estimation training sequences [3]. This paper describes a method for computing **C** by first mapping **A** to the integers, and then using finite integer arithmetic to compute **C** [1, 2]. Such a scheme is suitable for software and hardware applications, and also highlights the underlying structure of the message space, **V**, under cyclic shifts, this being dependent on the factorisation of integers of the form  $P^N - 1$ .

#### 2 Theory

If  $|\mathbf{A}| = P$ , **A** can be mapped to an integer alphabet,  $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{P}}$ , where  $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{P}} = \{0, 1, \dots, P-1\}$ . Without loss of generalisation, members **v** of **V**, will forthwith be considered to be messages from the alphabet,  $\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{P}}$ . Consider the following bijective mapping,

$$\mathbf{v} \Leftrightarrow w, \quad \forall \mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}, \text{ and } w \in \mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{M}}, \text{ except } \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{e}} = (P-1, P-1, \dots, P-1),$$
  
where  $w = \left\langle \sum_{i=0}^{N-1} v_i P^i \right\rangle_M, \quad v_i = \left\langle \lfloor \frac{w}{P^i} \rfloor \right\rangle_P \in \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{P}} \quad \text{and } M = P^N - 1$  (3)

Both  $\mathbf{v} = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$  and  $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{e}} = (P - 1, P - 1, \dots, P - 1)$  map to w = 0 under (3) hence the exclusion of  $\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{e}}$ . Using the Chinese Remainder Theorem (CRT) [1], each member of  $\mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{M}}$  can be constructed from its R residues over the mutually prime factors of M,

$$\forall w \in \mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{M}}, \qquad w = r_0 \otimes r_1 \otimes \dots r_{R-2} \otimes r_{R-1}, \qquad r_j = \langle w \rangle_{m_j^{t_j}}$$
$$\Rightarrow w = \left\langle \sum_{j=0}^{R-1} r_j \frac{M}{m_j^{t_j}} \left\langle \left(\frac{M}{m_j^{t_j}}\right)^{-1} \right\rangle_{m_j^{t_j}} \right\rangle_M \tag{4}$$

where  $M = \prod_{j=0}^{R-1} m_j^{t_j}$ , and " $\otimes$ " means the direct product. Let  $n_{e_j,0,j} = \operatorname{ord}_{m_j^{e_j}}(P)$ , and  $n_{e_j,1,j} = \frac{\phi'(m_j^{e_j})}{n_{e_j,0,j}}$ , where,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi'(m_j^{e_j}) &= \phi(m_j^{e_j}) & m_j \neq 2 \text{ and/or } e_j \leq 2 \\ \phi'(m_j^{e_j}) &= \frac{\phi(m_j^{e_j})}{2} & m_j = 2 \text{ and } e_j > 2 \end{aligned}$$

and  $\phi$  is Euler's Totient Function [1]. If  $gcd(n_{e_j,0,j}, n_{e_j,1,j}) = 1$ , let  $\beta_{e_j,j} = Rt(n_{e_j,1,j}, m_j^{e_j})$ , (a prime factor combination of the exponents, n). Alternatively, or if  $gcd(n_{e_j,0,j}, n_{e_j,1,j}) > 1$ , let  $\beta_{e_j,j} = Rt(\phi'(m_j^{e_j}), m_j^{e_j})$ , (a mixed-radix combination of the exponents, n). For all cases except  $m_j = 2, e_j > 2$ , each residue,  $r_j$ , can be generated using the following construction,

$$r_{j} \in \left\{ \left\langle m_{j}^{t_{j}-e_{j}} P^{s_{e_{j},0,j}} \beta_{e_{j},j}^{s_{e_{j},1,j}} \right\rangle_{m_{j}^{t_{j}}} \quad , \quad 0 \quad : \quad 1 \le e_{j} \le t_{j}, 0 \le s_{e_{j},i,j} < n_{e_{j},i,j}, i \in \{0,1\} \right\}$$

$$(5)$$

When  $m_i = 2$  and  $e_i > 2$ ,  $r_i$  is generated by,

$$r_{j} \in \left\{ \left\langle 2^{t_{j}-e_{j}} P^{s_{e_{j},0,j}} \beta_{e_{j},j}^{s_{e_{j},1,j}} \right\rangle_{2^{t_{j}}} , \left\langle \mu 2^{t_{j}-e_{j}} P^{s_{e_{j},0,j}} \beta_{e_{j},j}^{s_{e_{j},1,j}} \right\rangle_{2^{t_{j}}} , \\ 0 : 2 \le e_{j} \le t_{j}, 0 \le s_{e_{j},i,j} < n_{e_{j},i,j}, i \in \{0,1,\} \right\}$$

$$(6)$$

where  $\mu$  is given by,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= -1 & \text{if } \langle P+1 \rangle_{2^{e_j}} \neq 0 \\ \mu &= 2^{e_j-1}+1 & \text{if } \langle P+1 \rangle_{2^{e_j}} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

By ranging through all possible values of  $e_j$  and  $s_{e_j,i,j}$  for a given j, (5) and (6) generate the  $m_j^{t_j}$  integers,  $\{1, \ldots, m_j^{t_j} - 1\} + \{0\}$ . The generation of all  $w \in \mathbf{Z}_{\mathbf{M}}$ , (and therefore all  $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbf{V}, \mathbf{v} \neq \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{e}}$ ), is achieved by constructing the  $r_j$  with (5) and/or (6), and then using (4) to form each w. To generate only codewords,  $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{C}$ , the criteria of (5), (6), are modified. Consider the operation  $s(\mathbf{v}, 1)$ . This is equivalent to the operation  $\langle wP \rangle_M$  which, in turn, is equivalent to,  $\left( \langle r_0 P \rangle_{m_0^{t_0}} \otimes \langle r_1 P \rangle_{m_1^{t_1}} \otimes \ldots \otimes \langle r_{R-1} P \rangle_{m_{R-1}^{t_{R-1}}} \right)$ . From (5) and (6), the operation  $\langle r_j P \rangle_{m_j^{t_j}}$ is achieved by replacing  $s_{e_j,0,j}$  with  $\left\langle s_{e_j,0,j} + 1 \right\rangle_{n_{e_j,0,j}}$ ,  $\forall e_j, j$ , with  $s_{e_j,1,j}$  unchanged. Thus, to generate  $w_c$  corresponding to all codewords,  $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{C}$ , the  $n_{e_j,1,j}$  in (5) and (6) are left unchanged, whereas the  $n_{e_j,0,j}$  are replaced by  $n'_{e_j,0,j,q}, \forall q, 0 \leq q < Q$ , where q is constructed using the following mixed-radix formulation,

$$q = \sum_{j=0}^{R-1} e_j \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} (t_i + 1) \qquad 0 \le e_j \le t_j, \qquad \qquad Q = \prod_{j=0}^{R-1} (t_j + 1)$$

The  $n'_{e_i,0,j,q}$  are evaluated as follows,

$$n'_{e_{j},0,j,q} = \gcd(\operatorname{lcm}(\gamma(n_{e_{j+1},0,j+1}), \gamma(n_{e_{j+2},0,j+2}), \dots, \gamma(n_{e_{R-1},0,R-1}), 1), n_{e_{j},0,j})$$
(7)

where  $n_{0,0,j} = 0$ ,  $\forall j$ , and  $\gamma(n) = n$ , n > 0,  $\gamma(0) = 1$ . The  $n_{0,0,j}$  are not required in (5) or (6) (as  $e_j$  is never zero), so their replacements,  $n'_{0,0,j,q}$ , need not be computed in (7). The values,  $n_{0,0,j} = 0$  are only included in (7) for  $r_j = 0$ . For each q, the  $n'_{e_j,0,j,q}$  can be computed using (7), to replace the respective  $n_{e_j,0,j}$  in (5) or (6), and a subset of **C**, **C**<sub>**q**</sub>, can be generated, using (5) and/or (6), (4), and (3). Thus,

$$\mathbf{C} = \left(\cup_{q=0}^{Q-1} \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{q}}\right) \cup \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{e}}$$

#### 3 Examples

**Example 1:** Let P = 2, N = 5. Then  $M = 2^5 - 1 = 31$ , and 31 is prime. Thus R = 1,  $m_0 = 31$ ,  $t_0 = 1$ , and  $r_0 = \{\langle 2^{s_{e_0,0,0}} 6^{s_{e_0,1,0}} \rangle_{31}$ ,  $0: 1 \le e_0 \le 1, 0 \le s_{e_0,i,0} < n_{e_0,i,0} \}$ , where  $n_{e_0,0,0} = 5$ ,  $n_{e_0,1,0} = 6$ . To generate  $w_c$  corresponding to all codewords,  $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{C}$ ,  $n_{e_0,0,0}$  is limited to  $n'_{e_0,0,0,q}, \forall q, 0 \le q < 2$ , as follows,

$$n'_{0,0,0,0}$$
 is not required.  
 $n'_{1,0,0,1} = \gcd(\operatorname{lcm}(1), n_{1,0,0}) = 1$ 

With  $n'_{1,0,0,1} = 1$ ,  $r_0 \in \{2^{0}6^{0}, 2^{0}6^{1}, 2^{0}6^{2}, 2^{0}6^{3}, 2^{0}6^{4}, 2^{0}6^{5}, 0\} = \{1, 6, 5, 30, 25, 26, 0\}$ . The CRT construction is trivial, i.e.  $w_c = r_0, \forall r_0$ . The 8 codewords,  $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{C}$ , are,

**Example 2:** Let P = 15, N = 2. Then  $M = 15^2 - 1 = 224 = 2^57$ . Thus R = 2,  $m_0 = 2$ ,  $m_1 = 7, t_0 = 5, \text{ and } t_1 = 1.$ 

$$\begin{split} r_0 &= \{ \langle 16.15^{s_{1,0,0}}1^{s_{1,1,0}} \rangle_{32} \quad , \\ & \langle 8.15^{s_{2,0,0}}1^{s_{2,1,0}} \rangle_{32} \quad , \\ \langle 4.15^{s_{3,0,0}}7^{s_{3,1,0}} \rangle_{32} \quad , \quad \langle 5.4.15^{s_{3,0,0}}7^{s_{3,1,0}} \rangle_{32} \\ \langle 2.15^{s_{4,0,0}}3^{s_{4,1,0}} \rangle_{32} \quad , \quad \langle 9.2.15^{s_{4,0,0}}3^{s_{4,1,0}} \rangle_{32} \\ \langle 15^{s_{5,0,0}}3^{s_{5,1,0}} \rangle_{32} \quad , \quad \langle -15^{s_{5,0,0}}3^{s_{5,1,0}} \rangle_{32} \quad , \\ 0 \quad : \quad 0 \leq s_{e_0,i,0} < n_{e_0,i,0} \} \end{split}$$

where  $n_{1,0,0} = 1, n_{2,0,0} = 2, n_{3,0,0} = 2, n_{4,0,0} = 2, n_{5,0,0} = 2$ , and  $n_{1,1,0} = 1, n_{2,1,0} = 1, n_{3,1,0} = 1$  $1, n_{4,1,0} = 2, n_{5,1,0} = 4$ . For  $e_j > 2$ , (6) is used instead of (5). For  $e_j = 3$  and 4,  $\mu = 5$  and 9, respectively. For  $e_i = 5$ ,  $\mu = -1$ .

$$r_1 = \{ \langle 15^{s_{1,0,1}} 3^{s_{1,1,1}} \rangle_7 \quad , \quad 0 \quad : \quad 0 \le s_{1,i,1} < n_{1,i,1} \}$$

where  $n_{1,0,1} = 1$  and  $n_{1,1,1} = 6$ . To generate  $w_c$ , corresponding to all codewords,  $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbf{C}$ , the  $n_{e_i,0,j}$  are limited to  $n'_{e_i,0,j,q}$ ,  $\forall q, 0 \leq q < Q$ , where Q = 6.2 = 12, as follows,

$n'_{0,0,0,0}$ not required ,	$n'_{0,0,1,0}$ not required
$n'_{1,0,0,1} = 1,$	$n'_{0,0,0,1}$ not required
$n_{2,0,0,2}' = 1,$	$n'_{0,0,0,2}$ not required
$n'_{3,0,0,3} = 1,$	$n'_{0,0,0,3}$ not required
$n'_{4,0,0,4} = 1,$	$n'_{0,0,0,4}$ not required
$n_{5,0,0,5}^{\prime,0,0,1} = 1,$	$n'_{0,0,0,5}$ not required
$n'_{0,0,0,6}$ not required,	$n'_{1,0,0,6} = 1$
$n'_{1,0,0,7} = 1,$	$n'_{1,0,0,7} = 1$
$n_{2,0,0,8}' = 1,$	$n_{1,0,0,8}' = 1$
$n'_{3,0,0,9} = 1,$	$n_{1,0,0,9}' = 1$
$n'_{4,0,0,10} = 1,$	$n'_{1,0,0,10} = 1$
$n_{5,0,0,11}' = 1,$	$n'_{1,0,0,11} = 1$

For each  $q, 0 \le q < 12, 119$  different  $(r_0, r_1)$  residue pairs are generated:

- $\begin{array}{l} q = 0: (0,0);\\ q = 1: (16,0);\\ q = 2: (8,0);\\ q = 3: (4,0), (20,0);\\ q = 4: (2,0), (2.3,0), (9.2,0), (9.2.3,0);\\ q = 4: (2,0), (2.3,0), (9.2,0), (9.2.3,0);\\ \end{array}$

- $\begin{array}{l} q = 4: (2,0), (2.3,0), (9.2,0), (9.2,3,0); \\ q = 5: (1,0), (1.3,0), (1.3^2,0), (1.3^3,0), (-1,0), (-1.3,0), (-1.3^2,0), (-1.3^3,0); \\ q = 6: (0,1), (0,1.3), (0,1.3^2), (0,1.3^3), (0,1.3^4), (0,1.3^5); \\ q = 7: (16,1), (16,1.3), (16,1.3^2), (16,1.3^3), (16,1.3^4), (16,1.3^5); \\ q = 9: (4,1), (4,1.3), (4,1.3^2), (4,1.3^3), (4,1.3^4), (4,1.3^5), (20,1), (20,1.3), (20,1.3^2), (20,1.3^3), (20,1.3^4), (20,1.3^5); \\ q = 9: (4,1), (4,1.3), (4,1.3^2), (2,1.3^3), (2,1.3^4), (2,1.3^5), (23,1), (23,1.3), (23,1.3^2), (23,1.3^4), (23,1.3^4), (23,1.3^5), \\ (9.2,1), (9.2,1.3), (9.2,1.3^2), (9.2,1.3^3), (9.2,1.3^4), (9.2,1.3^5), (9.2,3,1), (9.2,3,1.3), (9.2,3,1.3^2), (9.2,3,1.3^4), (2.3,1.3^5), \\ (9.23,1.3^4), (9.23,1.3^5); \\ q = 11: (1,1), (1,1.3), (1,1.3^2), (1,1.3^3), (1,1.3^4), (1,1.3^5), (1.3,1), (1.3,1.3), (1.3,1.3^2), (1.3,1.3^4), (1.3,1.3^5), \\ (1.3^2,1), (1.3^2,1.3), (1.3^2,1.3^2), (1.3^2,1.3^3), (1.3^2,1.3^4), (1.3^2,1.3^5), (1.3^3,1), (1.3^3,1.3), (1.3^3,1.3^2), (1.3^3,1.3^3), \\ (1.3^3,1.3^4), (1.3^3,1.3^5), (-1,1), (-1,1.3), (-1,1.3^2), (-1,1.3^3), (-1,1.3^4), (-1,3^2,1.3^2), (-1.3^2,1.3^3), (-1.3^2,1.3^4), \\ (-1.3^2,1.3^5), (-1.3,1.3), (-1.3,1.3^4), (-1.3,1.3^5), (-1.3^3,1.3^4), (-1.3^3,1.3^2), (-1.3^3,1.3^5); \end{array} \right$

Using the CRT,  $w_c = \langle 161r_0 + 64r_1 \rangle_{224}$ . The 119 integers,  $w_c$ , each corresponding to a codeword,  $c \in \mathbf{C}$ , can be computed from the above.

#### 4 The Generation of Irreducible Polynomials

This section describes how to use the above method to generate irreducible polynomials. If  $\beta \in \operatorname{GF}(p^N), \beta \notin \operatorname{GF}(p^n), n | N, n \neq N$ , then  $\beta^{p^0}, \beta^{p^1}, \ldots, \beta^{p^{N-1}}$  is a normal basis for  $\operatorname{GF}(p^N)$ . Moreover, the N conjugates of  $\beta$  are roots of a degree N irreducible polynomial, I(x), over  $\mathrm{GF}(p),$ 

$$I(x) = \prod_{i=0}^{N-1} (x - \beta^{p^i})$$

Let us represent  $\beta^k$  using the normal basis in  $\beta$ . Then successive cyclic shifts, s, of the basis coefficients generate all conjugates of  $\beta^k$ . If  $s^n(\beta^k) = \beta^k$ ,  $s^{n'}(\beta^k) \neq \beta^k$ , 0 < n' < n, then  $\beta^k$ has n conjugates, where n|N, and the n conjugates of  $\beta$  are roots of a degree n irreducible polynomial,  $I_k(x)$ , over GF(p),

$$I_k(x) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (x - \beta^{kp^i})$$

Therefore each irreducible polynomial,  $I_k(x)$ , of degree n, n|N, can be uniquely associated with one of its roots,  $\beta^{kp^i}$ , and the generation of a maximum subset, **C**, of length N words over an integer alphabet,  $\mathbf{I_p}$ , such that no word is a cyclic shift of another, is equivalent to the generation of a representative root of all possible  $I_k(x)$  of degree n, n|N.  $|\mathbf{C}|$  is equal to the number of irreducible polynomials over GF(p) of degree n, n|N. This paper has shown how to directly compute **C** and this section has shown that, when P = p is a power of a prime, each member of **C** is a root of a different irreducible polynomial,  $I_k(x)$ , when interpreted over a normal basis. Thus the method of this paper can be used to generate all possible irreducible polynomials over a given field. It is straightforward to extend the technique to all integer values of P by use of Residue Number Systems [2]. From [1] it is known that the number of irreducible polynomials over GF(p) of degree n, n|N, satisfies,

$$\sum_{n|N} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \mu(d) p^{\frac{n}{d}} \tag{8}$$

where  $\mu$  is the Mobius Function. This can be used to verify the method of this paper for the cases where P = p is a power of a prime. For instance, when p = 2, N = 5, then (8) gives 8 irreducible polynomials, and this is verified by Example 1.

## 5 Conclusion

This paper has described a method for the generation of a largest subset of length N messages over a finite alphabet so that no two messages in the subset are equivalent under cyclic shift. The method requires finite integer arithmetic. A similar method would use finite polynomial arithmetic to achieve the same ends. It has also been shown how the method can be used to generate all irreducible polynomials over a given field.

### References

- R.Lidl,H.Niederreiter, Introduction to Finite Fields and their Applications, Cambridge Univ Press, '86
- [2] M.G.Parker, "VLSI Algorithms and Architectures for the Implementation of Number-Theoretic Transforms, Residue and Polynomial Residue Number Systems," *PhD thesis, School of Eng, University of Huddersfield, March '95*
- [3] C.Tellambura, M.G.Parker, Y.J.Guo, S.J.Shepherd, S.K.Barton, "Optimal Sequences for Channel Estimation Using Discrete Fourier Transform Techniques," Accepted for Publication in IEEE Trans on Communications, '96