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## Notations

- $p$ be an odd prime,
- $n, k$ be positive integers,
- $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ be a finite field with $q$ elements, where $q=p^{k}$,
- We set $e=\operatorname{gcd}(n, k), n_{1}=n / e, q_{1}=p^{e}$ and $q_{2}=p^{n k / e}$,
- Norm be the relative norm map from $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{q_{1}}$, where (that is, $\operatorname{Norm}(x)=x^{\frac{p^{n}-1}{q_{1}-1}}$ for any $x \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$ ).


## Extensions of $\mathbb{F}_{q_{1}}$

We work on the following extensions of $\mathbb{F}_{q_{1}}$ :


## Motivation

## Lemma (Trachtenberg)

Let $r, s$, and $t$ be pairwise relatively prime. Let $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{m}$, $m \leq s$, be a set of elements of $\mathbb{F}_{p^{r s}}$ which are linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{r}}$. Then $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{m}$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{r t}}$.

## Lemma

Let $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$ be a non-empty set. If $\mathcal{B}$ is linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}_{q_{1}}$, then $\mathcal{B}$ is also linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$

- Our lemma is a stronger version of the Trachtenberg's lemma.
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## Motivation

- It is possible to decide the number of solutions of certain linearized equations using our lemma.
- But, it is not easy to find the exact number of solutions of that equation.
- In many cases we can easily find the exact number of solutions of linearized equations depending on the coefficients of that equation.


## A Useful Result

## Proposition

Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}} \backslash\{0\}$ and $N(\alpha)$ denote the number of $z \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$ such that

$$
z^{q}-\alpha z=0 .
$$

Let $\psi_{\alpha}$ be the map on $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{\alpha}: \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}} & \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}} \\
x & \mapsto x^{q}-\alpha x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then we have

$$
N(\alpha)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } \quad \operatorname{Norm}(\alpha) \neq 1 \\ q_{1}, & \text { if } \quad \operatorname{Norm}(\alpha)=1\end{cases}
$$
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## A Useful Result Cont.

## Proposition continued

Let $A_{\alpha}(T) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}[T]$ be the $\mathbb{F}_{q_{1}}$-linearized polynomial given by

$$
\begin{align*}
A_{\alpha}(T)= & T^{q_{1}^{n_{1}-1}}+\alpha^{q_{1}^{n_{1}-1}} T^{q_{1}^{n_{1}-2}}+\alpha^{q_{1}^{n_{1}-1}+q_{1}^{n_{1}-2}} T^{q_{1}^{n_{1}-3}}  \tag{1}\\
& +\cdots+\alpha^{q_{1}^{n_{1}-1}}+q_{1}^{n_{1}-2}+\cdots+q_{1}^{2} T^{q_{1}}+\alpha^{q_{1}^{n_{1}-1}+q_{1}^{n_{1}-2}+\cdots+q_{1}} T .
\end{align*}
$$

If $\operatorname{Norm}(\alpha)=1$, then we also have the followings:
(1) $\operatorname{Ker} \psi_{\alpha}$ is the roots of the polynomial $T^{q_{1}}-\alpha T$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$. This polynomial is separable and splits over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$.
(2) $\operatorname{Im} \psi_{\alpha}$ is the roots of the polynomial $A_{\alpha}(T)$. This polynomial is separable and splits over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$.

## A Useful Result Cont.

## Proposition continued

Let $A_{\alpha}(T) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}[T]$ be the $\mathbb{F}_{q_{1}}$-linearized polynomial given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{\alpha}(T)= T^{q_{1}^{n_{1}-1}}+\alpha^{q_{1}^{n_{1}-1}} T^{q_{1}^{n_{1}-2}}+\alpha^{q_{1}^{n_{1}-1}}+q_{1}^{n_{1}-2}  \tag{1}\\
& T^{q_{1}^{n_{1}-3}} \\
&+\cdots+\alpha^{q_{1}^{n_{1}-1}}+q_{1}^{n_{1}-2}+\cdots+q_{1}^{2} T^{q_{1}}+\alpha^{q_{1}^{n_{1}-1}+q_{1}^{n_{1}-2}+\cdots+q_{1}} T .
\end{align*}
$$

If $\operatorname{Norm}(\alpha)=1$, then we also have the followings:
(1) $\operatorname{Ker} \psi_{\alpha}$ is the roots of the polynomial $T^{q_{1}}-\alpha T$ over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$. This polynomial is separable and splits over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$.
(2) $\operatorname{Im} \psi_{\alpha}$ is the roots of the polynomial $A_{\alpha}(T)$. This polynomial is separable and splits over $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$.

## Main Result

## Theorem

Let $\alpha, \beta$ be nonzero elements of $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$. Let $N(\alpha, \beta)$ denote the number of $z \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$ such that

$$
\left(z^{q}-\alpha z\right) \circ\left(z^{q}-\beta z\right)=z^{q^{2}}-\left(\alpha+\beta^{q}\right) z^{q}+\alpha \beta z=0 .
$$

Let $C_{\alpha, \beta}$ denote the constant in $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$ defined as


Then $N(\alpha, \beta) \in\left\{1, q_{1}, q_{1}^{2}\right\}$. Moreover we have the followings:
(1) $N(\alpha, \beta)=1$ if and only if $\operatorname{Norm}(\alpha) \neq 1$ and $\operatorname{Norm}(\beta) \neq 1$.
(2) $N(\alpha, \beta)=q_{1}$ if and only if one of the followings hold:
(1) $\operatorname{Norm}(\alpha)=1$ and $\operatorname{Norm}(\beta) \neq 1$.
(2) $\operatorname{Norm}(\alpha) \neq 1$ and $\operatorname{Norm}(\beta)=1$.
(0) $\operatorname{Norm}(\alpha)=\operatorname{Norm}(\beta)=1$ and $C_{\alpha, \beta} \neq 0$.
(3) $N(\alpha, \beta)=q_{1}^{2}$ if and only if $\operatorname{Norm}(\alpha)=\operatorname{Norm}(\beta)=1$ and $C_{\alpha, \beta}=0$.
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## More results

## Proposition

Let $m \geq 2$ be an integer. Let

$$
A(T)=T^{q^{m}}+A_{m-1} T^{q^{m-1}}+\cdots+A_{1} T^{q}+A_{0} T \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}[T]
$$

be an $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-linearized polynomial with $A_{0} \neq 0$.
If there exists $\eta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}} \backslash\{0\}$ such that $A(\eta)=0$, then there exist $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}} \backslash\{0\}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{q^{-}}$-linearized monic and separable polynomial $B(T) \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}[T]$ such that

$$
A(T)=B(T) \circ\left(T^{q}-\beta T\right)
$$

- This result is well known if $k \mid n$.
- It is a slight extension, including the case $k \nmid n$ as well.


## A Remark

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}} \backslash\{0\}$. Let $N$ denote the number of $z \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$ s.t.

$$
z^{q^{2}}+a z^{q}+b z=0
$$

- The main problem is to compute $N$ explicitly.
- This problem is now reduced to a "factorization" problem in the following sense:
- If there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}} \backslash\{0\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{q^{2}}+a z^{q}+b z=\left(z^{q}-\alpha z\right) \circ\left(z^{q}-\beta z\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $N$ is computed explicitly using our Theorem as $N=N(\alpha, \beta)$.

- If there is no $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}} \backslash\{0\}$ such that (2) holds, then $N=1$ by our Proposition.
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## An example

## Example

Let $p=3, n=3, k=1$ and
$\gamma$ be a primitive element in $\mathbb{F}_{3^{3}}$, s.t. $\gamma^{3}+2 \gamma+1=0$.
Then by computer search we see that

$$
z^{9}+\gamma^{7} z^{3}+z
$$

can not be written of the form $\left(z^{3}-\alpha z\right) \circ\left(z^{3}-\beta z\right)$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{3^{3}} \backslash\{0\}$.

## A connection of the factorization problem above with a result of Bluher

- We want to find $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}} \backslash\{0\}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
z^{q^{2}}+a z^{q}+b z & =\left(z^{q}-\alpha z\right) \circ\left(z^{q}-\beta z\right) \\
& =z^{q^{2}}-\left(\alpha+\beta^{q}\right) z^{q}+\alpha \beta z
\end{aligned}
$$

which means that

$$
a=\alpha+\beta^{q} \text { and } b=\alpha \beta
$$

- Then by substituting $\alpha=b / \beta$ in the first equality

$$
a=\frac{b}{\beta}+\beta^{q} .
$$

- That is, $\beta$ is a solution of the equation

$$
0=x^{q+1}-a x+b \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}[x] .
$$

## A result of Trachtenberg

## Proposition (Trachtenberg)

Let $\gamma$ be a nonzero element of $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$ where $p$ is prime and $n$ is odd. Then the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{p^{4 m}}-(2 \gamma)^{p^{2 m}} z^{p^{2 m}}+z=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

has exactly 1 , $p^{e}$, or $p^{2 e}$ roots in $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$, where $e=\operatorname{gcd}(m, n)$.

- Remark that using our Theorem and Proposition it possible to find the exact number of roots of (3) depending on $\gamma$. Note that $k=2 m$ in our notation.


## More equations

Now, it is possible to find the exact number of solutions of the following linearized equations depending on the coefficients of that equation.

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{q^{3}}+a z^{q^{2}}+b z^{q}+c z=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

- The problem of finding the exact number of solutions of (4) can be reduced to a "factorization" problem.
- Note that using Trachtenberg's Lemma the number of solutions of (4) is in the set $\left\{1, q_{1}, q_{1}^{2}, q_{1}^{3}\right\}$.
- But in many cases depending on the coefficients of the equations, the number of solutions of (4) will not take all the values in the set $\left\{1, q_{1}, q_{1}^{2}, q_{1}^{3}\right\}$.


## A result of Trachtenberg

## Proposition

Let $\gamma$ be a nonzero element of $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$ where $p$ is an odd prime and $n$ is odd. Then the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{p^{p^{m}}}-\gamma^{p^{3 m}} z^{p^{4 m}}-\gamma^{p^{2 m}} z^{p^{2 m}}+z=0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

has exactly 1 , $p^{e}$, or $p^{2 e}$ roots in $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$, where $e=\operatorname{gcd}(m, n)$.

- The proof of the proposition is suggested by L. Welch.
- The equation (5) is of the form

$$
0=z^{q^{3}}+b^{p^{(k / 2)}} z^{q^{2}}+b z^{q}+z \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}[z] \quad(k=2 m) .
$$

## Remarks on the last result

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{p^{6 m}}-\gamma^{p^{3 m}} z^{p^{4 m}}-\gamma^{p^{2 m}} z^{p^{2 m}}+z=0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

- If the equation (6) has a nonzero root then
$z^{q^{3}}+b^{p^{(k / 2)}} z^{q^{2}}+b z^{q}+z=\left(z^{q}-\alpha_{1} z\right) \circ\left(z^{q}-\alpha_{2} z\right) \circ\left(z^{q}-\alpha_{3} z\right)$
for some $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3} \in \mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$.
- Using this observation it is proved that the equation (6) can not have $q_{1}^{3}$ solutions in $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$.
- Furthermore, using similar techniques as in our Theorem it is possible to make further improvements depending on the values of $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ and $\alpha_{3}$.
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