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#### Abstract

Cyclotomic constructions are given for several infinite families of even length binary sequences which have low negaperiodic autocorrelation. It appears that two of the constructions have asymptotic Merit Factor 6.0 which is very high. Mappings from periodic to negaperiodic autocorrelation are also discussed.


## 1 Introduction

The Periodic Autocorrelation Function (PACF) of a length $N$ binary sequence, $s(t)$, is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{s}(\omega)=\sum_{t=0}^{N-1}(-1)^{s(t+\omega)-s(t)}, \quad 0 \leq \omega<N \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where sequence indices, $t$, are taken $\bmod N . s(t)$ has optimal PACF when $\left|P_{s}(\omega)\right|=1$ if $N$ is odd. For $N$ even, the PACF of $s(t)=0001$ is $4,0,0,0$, which is perfect as $P_{s}(\omega)=0, \forall \omega \neq 0$. But, for $N$ even, $N>4$, it is conjectured (but not proven) that there is no binary $s(t)$ with perfect PACF. If this conjecture is true then, for $N$ even, $N>4$, binary $s(t)$ such that $\min _{s(t)}\left(\max _{1 \leq \omega<N}\left|P_{s}(\omega)\right|\right)=2$ (4) has best possible PACF, for $4 \wedge N(4 \mid N)$, respectively. However, when $s(t)$ is balanced (an equal number of zeros and ones) or almost-balanced $(\mid \#$ zeroes $-\#$ ones $\mid=1)$ proof of optimality is possible. A recent paper [1] used cyclotomy to construct infinite ${ }^{1}$ balanced (almost-balanced) binary sequence families of length $N=2 p$, for certain $p$ prime, with optimal PACF. In this paper we consider the Negaperiodic Autocorrelation Function (NACF) of $s(t)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{s}(\omega)=\sum_{t=0}^{N-1}(-1)^{s(t+\omega)-s(t)-\left\lfloor\frac{t+\omega}{N}\right\rfloor}, \quad 0 \leq \omega<N \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where sequence indices, $t$, are taken, $\bmod N$. For example, the NACF of $s(t)=$ 110101 is $Q_{s}(\omega)=6,-4,2,0,-2,4$. Binary $s(t)$ has optimal NACF when $\left|Q_{s}(\omega)\right|=$

[^0]$1, \forall \omega \neq 0$, if $N$ is odd. For even $N$ the NACF of $s(t)=01$ is 2,0 which is perfect as $Q_{s}(\omega)=0, \forall \omega \neq 0$. But for $N$ even, $N>2$, we conjecture (but cannot prove) that there is no binary $s(t)$ with perfect NACF. If this conjecture is true then, for $N$ even, $N>2$, binary $s(t)$ such that $\min _{s(t)}\left(\max _{1 \leq \omega<N}\left|Q_{s}(\omega)\right|\right)=2$, has best possible NACF. We provide constructions for such 'conjectured optimal' sequences, $s(t)$, in Theorems 1 and 2 , where $s(t)$ is not necessarily balanced or almost-balanced. ${ }^{2}$ We can always define an odd-length binary sequence, $e(t)$, such that $e(t)=s(t)+t(\bmod 2)$, where $Q_{e}(\omega)=(-1)^{\omega} P_{s}(\omega)$ (Lemma 2), so low odd-length $N$ PACF constructions trivially map to low odd-length $N$ NACF constructions. However most even-length sequences with low NACF cannot be trivially derived from even-length sequences with known PACF, although we do review some useful mappings in Section 5. In this paper cyclotomy is used to construct binary sequence families of even length $N=2 p(N=4 p)$ with low NACF for certain $p$ prime. Unlike the sequences of [1], the sequences of this paper are not necessarily balanced or almost-balanced. Sequences with low NACF can be used in spread-spectrum systems in a similar way to sequences with low PACF, and for comparable complexity [9]. The Aperiodic Autocorrelation Function (AACF) of a length $N$ binary sequence, $s(t)$, is,
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{s}(\omega)=\sum_{t=0}^{N-1}(-1)^{s(t+\omega)-s(t)}, \quad-N<\omega<N \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $s(t)=0$ for $t<0$ or $t \geq N$. AACF is the sum and difference of PACF and NACF:

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{s}(\omega)=\frac{1}{2}\left(P_{s}(\omega)+Q_{s}(\omega)\right), \quad 0 \leq \omega<N \\
& A_{s}(\omega)=\frac{1}{2}\left(P_{s}(N-\omega)-Q_{s}(N-\omega)\right), \quad-N \leq \omega<0 \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left|A_{s}(\omega)\right|=\left|A_{s}(-\omega)\right|$. It is a well-known open problem to identify lowest possible values of $\left|A_{s}(\omega)\right|$ for a length $N$ sequence, $s$. 'Golay Merit Factor' (MF) [8] is a common metric used to measure aperiodic optimality of a sequence and is given by,

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{s}=\frac{N^{2}}{2 \sum_{\omega=1}^{N-1}\left|A_{s}(\omega)\right|^{2}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lower values of $\left|A_{s}(\omega)\right|$ give higher MF. The highest MF for a given length $N$ binary sequence is not known in general. The asymptote, $M_{s}=6.0, N \rightarrow$ $\infty$ is the highest known asymptote for a sequence, $s$, belonging to an infinite family of binary sequences, where the construction is a cyclic shift (cyclically shifted by approximately $N / 4$ ) of a Legendre or Modified-Jacobi sequence [7, 8], although Golay has constructed skewsymmetric binary sequences with MFs generally between 8.00 and $9.00[3-5]$ up to lengths $N=100$ or so. ${ }^{3}$

[^1]This paper shows, experimentally, that the constructions of Theorems 1 and 2 also approach $M_{s}=6.0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$, and Section 5 argues that this is because these constructions are closely related to Legendre sequences.

## 2 Construction

Instead of constructing a length $N$ sequence $s(t)$, we construct a length $2 N$ sequence $s^{\prime}(t)$, where $s^{\prime}(t)=s(t), 0 \leq t<N, s^{\prime}(t)=s(t)+1(\bmod 2), N \leq t<$ $2 N$. The NACF of $s(t)$ and the PACF of $s^{\prime}(t)$ are related as follows,

$$
Q_{s}(\omega)=\frac{1}{2} P_{s^{\prime}}(\omega), \quad 0 \leq \omega<N
$$

For example, if $s^{\prime}(t)=11010111110010100000$ then $s(t)=1101011111$.
$P_{s^{\prime}}(\omega)=20,0,4,0,-4,0,4,0,-4,0,-20,0,-4,0,4,0,-4,0,4,0$, so
$Q_{s}(\omega)=10,0,2,0,-2,0,2,0,-2,0$. The constructing method uses cyclotomy, as in [1], to specify a subset $C$ of $Z_{2 N}$ to define the characteristic sequence $s^{\prime}(t)$ of $C$ :

$$
s^{\prime}(t)= \begin{cases}1, & \text { if } t \in C \\ 0, & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

The PACF is determined by the difference function,

$$
d_{C}(\omega)=|C \cap(C+\omega)|
$$

where $C+\omega$ denotes the set $\{c+\omega: c \in C\}$ and '+' denotes addition, $\bmod 2 N$. The PACF of $s^{\prime}(t)$ is then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{s^{\prime}}(\omega)=2 N-4\left(|C|-d_{C}(\omega)\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

This paper gives constructions for $N=2 p$ and $N=4 p, p$ prime. We therefore specify $C$ over $Z_{4 p}$ and $Z_{8 p}$. By the Chinese Remainder Theorem (CRT), $Z_{r p}$ is isomorphic to $Z_{r} \times Z_{p}, \operatorname{gcd}(r, p)=1$. For $N=r p$, let $C^{\prime}=\left\{\{n\} \times C_{n} \mid C_{n} \subseteq\right.$ $\left.Z_{p}^{*}, 0 \leq n<r\right\}, F=\left\{G \times 0 \mid G \subseteq Z_{r}\right\}$, and $C=C^{\prime} \cup F$. Define $\omega=\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right) \in$ $Z_{r} \times Z_{p}$. Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
d_{C}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right) & =\left|C \cap\left(C+\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)\right)\right| \\
& =\sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \sum_{n=0}^{r-1}\left|C_{n} \cap\left(C_{k-w_{1}}+w_{2}\right)\right| \\
& +\left|G \cap\left(G+\left(w_{1}, 0\right)\right)\right|+\sum_{k=0}^{r-1}\left|G \cap\left(k+w_{1}, C_{k}+w_{2}\right)\right|+  \tag{7}\\
& +\sum_{k=0}^{r-1}\left|\left(k, C_{k}\right) \cap\left(G+\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)\right)\right|
\end{align*}
$$

From (7) we see that if we know $\left|C_{n} \cap\left(C_{m}+\omega_{2}\right)\right|, \forall n, m, \omega_{2} \in Z_{p}$, and if we can also determine the last three terms involving $G$, then we can determine $d_{C}\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=d_{C}(\omega), \forall \omega$, and hence the PACF of $s^{\prime}(t)$. If we construct $C_{n}$ from the union of various cyclotomic classes over $\operatorname{GF}(p), \forall n$, then $\left|C_{n} \cap\left(C_{m}+\omega_{2}\right)\right|$ is computable from the cyclotomic numbers over $\operatorname{GF}(p)$. Let $D_{i}$ be the cyclotomic class of order $d$, given by,

$$
D_{i}=\left\{\alpha^{i}, \alpha^{d+i}, \alpha^{2 d+i}, \alpha^{3 d+i}, \ldots, \alpha^{p-1-d+i}\right\}, \quad 0 \leq i<d
$$

where $\alpha$ is a primitive generator over $\operatorname{GF}(p)$. Then the cyclotomic number $[i, j]$ of order $d$ over $\mathrm{GF}(p)$ is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
[i, j]=\left|\left(D_{i}+1\right) \cap D_{j}\right| \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\left|C_{n} \cap\left(C_{m}+w_{2}\right)\right|=\left|w_{2}^{-1} C_{n} \cap\left(w_{2}^{-1} C_{m}+1\right)\right|,(\bmod p)$, for $w_{2} \neq 0$. If $C_{n}=\bigcup_{k \in T_{n}} D_{k}, T_{n} \subseteq Z_{r}$, and $w_{2}^{-1} \in D_{h}$, then $w_{2}^{-1} C_{n}=\bigcup_{k \in T_{n}} D_{k+h}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|w_{2}^{-1} C_{n} \cap\left(w_{2}^{-1} C_{m}+1\right)\right|=\left|\left(\bigcup_{k \in T_{n}} D_{k+h}\right) \cap\left(\bigcup_{k \in T_{m}} D_{k+h}+1\right)\right|=\sum_{k \in T_{n}} \sum_{j \in T_{m}}[k+h, j+h] \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. a sum of cyclotomic numbers. We later use cyclotomic numbers to prove the NACF of some of the sequences we construct.
Example 1: $s^{\prime}(t)$ is described by $C$ comprising $F$ and the $C_{n}$ which are, in turn, the union of various $D_{i}$ of order $d$. Let $2 N=r p=4 p, d=2$, and $C_{0}=D_{0}$, $C_{1}=D_{0}, C_{2}=D_{1}, C_{3}=D_{1}$. Let $G=\{1,2\}$. Then, for $p=13$ we can choose $\alpha=2$ to give $D_{0}=\{1,4,3,12,9,10\}$ and $D_{1}=\{2,8,6,11,5,7\}$. Thus, using the CRT, $\bmod 52$, we construct the sets, $F=\{13,26\}$, and,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left(0, C_{0}\right)=\{40\{1,4,3,12,9,10\}\} & \left(1, C_{1}\right)=\{13+40\{1,4,3,12,9,10\}\} \\
\left(2, C_{2}\right)=\{26+40\{2,8,6,11,5,7\}\} & \left(3, C_{3}\right)=\{39+40\{2,8,6,11,5,7\}\}
\end{array}
$$

Then $C=\left(0, C_{0}\right) \cup\left(1, C_{1}\right) \cup\left(2, C_{2}\right) \cup\left(3, C_{3}\right) \cup F=$
$\{1,2,4,6,7,9,11,12,13,15,16,17,18,19,25,26,29,31,34,36,40,46,47,48,49,50\}$.
Therefore, $s^{\prime}(t)=0110101101011101111100000110010100101000100000111110$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{s^{\prime}}(\omega)= & 52,0,4,0,-4,0,4,0,-4,0,4,0,-4,0,4,0,-4,0,4,0,-4,0,4,0,-4,0 \\
& -52,0,-4,0,4,0,-4,0,4,0,-4,0,4,0,-4,0,4,0,-4,0,4,0,-4,0,4,0
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, the first half of $s^{\prime}(t)$ is $s(t)=01101011010111011111000001$, and,

$$
Q_{s}(\omega)=26,0,-2,0,2,0,-2,0,2,0,-2,0,2,0,-2,0,2,0,-2,0,2,0,-2,0,2,0
$$

Example 1 highlights the following restriction.
Lemma 1. For $s^{\prime}(t)$ to satisfy $s^{\prime}(t+N)=s^{\prime}(t)+1(\bmod 2), 0 \leq t<N$, we require that, if $C_{n}=\bigcup_{i \in T_{n}} D_{i} T_{n} \subseteq Z_{d}$, then $C_{n+\frac{r}{2}}=\bigcup_{i \notin T_{n}} D_{i}$. Moreover, if $j \in G(\notin G)$, then $j+\frac{r}{2}(\bmod r) \notin G,(\in G)$.

From Lemma 1 it is sufficient to describe $s(t)$ by defining $C_{n}$ for $0 \leq n<\frac{r}{2}$, and by defining $G^{\prime} \subset Z_{\frac{r}{2}}$, where $G^{\prime}=\left\{g \mid g \in G, g<\frac{r}{2}\right\}$.
A Compact Description for $s(t)$ : $s(t)$ is compactly described by $\mathbf{H}=$ $\left(G^{\prime},\left\{\bigcup_{i \in T_{0}} D_{i}\right\},\left\{\bigcup_{i \in T_{1}} D_{i}\right\}, \ldots,\left\{\bigcup_{i \in T_{\frac{r}{2}-1}} D_{i}\right\}\right)$.

So for Example 1 we define $s(t)$ by $\mathbf{H}=\left(\{1\},\left\{D_{0}\right\},\left\{D_{0}\right\}\right)$. Example 1 is taken from Theorem 1 of Section 3 and is a construction for length $N=2 p$ sequences, $s(t)$, with low NACF.

## 3 Sequences with Low Negaperiodic Autocorrelation

### 3.1 Symmetries

Two length $K$ sequences, $u(t)$ and $v(t)$ are called 'PACF-equivalent' ('NACFequivalent') if they have the same distribution of PACF (NACF) magnitudes, and there exist well-defined operations that take $u(t)$ to and from $v(t)$. Such operations are called PACF-equivalent (NACF-equivalent) operations. Before presenting the constructions we first mention some PACF-equivalent operations on $s^{\prime}(t)$. These translate into NACF-equivalent operations on $s(t)$.

| PACF-equivalent operation on $s^{\prime}(t)$ | NACF-equivalent operation on $s(t)$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Cyclic Shift of $s^{\prime}(t)$ | Negacyclic Shift of $s(t)$ |
| Reversal of $s^{\prime}(t)$ | Reversal of $s(t)$ |
| Negation of $s^{\prime}(t)$ | Negation of $s(t)$ |

The following theorems and conjectures only present constructions for NACFinequivalent sequences, $s(t)$, and proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 are given at the end of this section.

Theorem 1. Let $p=4 f+1$ be prime and $d=2$. The length $N=2 p$ sequence $s(t)$ has conjectured optimal three-valued out-of-phase negaperiodic autocorrelation, $\{-2,0,2\}$, if $\mathbf{H}=\left(\{1\},\left\{D_{0}\right\},\left\{D_{0}\right\}\right)$.

Theorem 2. Let $p=4 f+3$ be prime and $d=2$. The length $N=2 p$ sequence $s(t)$ has conjectured optimal three-valued out-of-phase negaperiodic autocorrelation, $\{-2,0,2\}$, if $\mathbf{H}=\left(\{0,1\},\left\{D_{0}\right\},\left\{D_{0}\right\}\right)$ or $\mathbf{H}=\left(\{-\},\left\{D_{0}\right\},\left\{D_{0}\right\}\right)$.

In the following three Conjectures let $\gamma=\{a, b\}\{c, d\}\{e, f\}\{g, h\}$ be short for $\left\{D_{a} \cup D_{b}\right\},\left\{D_{c} \cup D_{d}\right\},\left\{D_{e} \cup D_{f}\right\},\left\{D_{g} \cup D_{h}\right\}$.
Conjecture 1. Let $p$ be a prime of the form $\left(n^{2}+1\right) / 2,8 \mid(p-1)$, and $d=4$. Let $s(t)$ be described by $\mathbf{H}=\left(G^{\prime}, \gamma\right)$. Then, for a given $\gamma$ chosen from Conjecture 1 of Table $1, \exists \alpha$ and $\alpha^{-1}$ such that the length $N=4 p$ sequence $s(t)$ has nearoptimal five-valued out-of-phase negaperiodic autocorrelation $\{-4,-2,0,2,4\}$ or $\{-18,-4,0,4,18\}$, respectively, independent of choice of $G^{\prime}$.

Table 1. $G^{\prime}$ and $\gamma$ Values for Conjectures 1 and 2

| Conjecture 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $G^{\prime}$ | $\gamma$ |
| $\{2\}$ | $\{0,3\}\{1,2\}\{0,1\}\{0,1\}$ |
| $\{0,1,2\}$ | $\{1,2\}\{0,3\}\{0,1\}\{0,1\}$ |
| $\{3\}$ | $\{2,3\}\{0,1\}\{1,2\}\{1,2\}$ |
| $\{0,1,3\}$ | $\{0,1\}\{2,3\}\{1,2\}\{1,2\}$ |


| Conjecture 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $G^{\prime}$ | $\gamma$ |
| $\{0\}$ | $\{0,3\}\{1,2\}\{0,1\}\{0,1\}$ |
| $\{1\}$ | $\{1,2\}\{0,3\}\{0,1\}\{0,1\}$ |
| $\{0,2,3\}$ | $\{2,3\}\{0,1\}\{1,2\}\{1,2\}$ |
| $\{1,2,3\}$ | $\{0,1\}\{2,3\}\{1,2\}\{1,2\}$ |

Conjecture 2. Let $p$ be a prime of the form $\left(n^{2}+1\right) / 2,8 \backslash(p-1)$, and $d=4$. Let $s(t)$ be described by $\mathbf{H}=\left(G^{\prime}, \gamma\right)$. Then, for a given $\gamma$ chosen from conjecture 2 of Table $1, \exists \alpha$ and $\alpha^{-1}$ such that the length $N=4 p$ sequence $s(t)$ has nearoptimal five-valued out-of-phase negaperiodic autocorrelation $\{-4,-2,0,2,4\}$ or $\{-22,-4,0,4,22\}$, respectively, independent of choice of $G^{\prime}$.

Conjecture 3. Let $p$ be a prime of the form $n^{2}+4$, and $d=4$. Let $s(t)$ be described by $\mathbf{H}=\left(G^{\prime}, \gamma\right)$. Then, for a given $\gamma$ chosen from the left-hand (righthand) side of Table $2, \exists \alpha$ and $\alpha^{-1}$ such that the length $N=4 p$ sequence $s(t)$ of $H$ has near-optimal five and seven-valued out-of-phase negaperiodic autocorrelation $\{-4,-2,0,2,4\}$ or $\{-12,-4,-2,0,2,4,12\}$, respectively, for the single choice of $G^{\prime}$ from the left-hand (right-hand) side of Table 2.

Table 2. $G^{\prime}$ and $\gamma$ Values for Conjecture 3

| $G^{\prime}$ | $\gamma$ | $G^{\prime}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\{0\}$ | $\{1,3\}\{0,2\}\{0,1\}\{0,1\}$ | $\gamma$ |
| $\{0,3\}$ | $\{0,1\}\{0,2\}\{0,2\}\{0,1\}$ |  |
| $\{1,3\}\{0,2\}\{0,1\}\{0,1\}$ |  | $\{0,1\}\{1,3\}\{1,3\}\{0,1\}$ |
| $\{0,2\}\{1,3\}\{1,2\}\{1,2\}$ |  | $\{1,2\}\{0,2\}\{0,2\}\{1,2\}$ |

Example 2: A representative sequence of Conjecture 3 is
$H=\left(\{0,3\},\left\{D_{0}, D_{1}\right\},\left\{D_{0}, D_{2}\right\},\left\{D_{0}, D_{2}\right\},\left\{D_{0}, D_{1}\right\}\right)$. Then
$C=\left\{(0,0) \cup(3,0) \cup(5,0) \cup(6,0) \cup\left(0, C_{0}\right) \cup\left(1, C_{1}\right) \cup\left(2, C_{2}\right) \cup\left(3, C_{3}\right) \cup\left(4, C_{4}\right) \cup\right.$ $\left.\left(5, C_{5}\right) \cup\left(6, C_{6}\right) \cup\left(7, C_{7}\right)\right\}$, where
$\begin{array}{llll}C_{0}=\left\{D_{0} \cup D_{1}\right\}, & C_{1}=\left\{D_{0} \cup D_{2}\right\}, & C_{2}=\left\{D_{0} \cup D_{2}\right\}, & C_{3}=\left\{D_{0} \cup D_{1}\right\} \\ C_{4}=\left\{D_{2} \cup D_{3}\right\}, & C_{5}=\left\{D_{1} \cup D_{3}\right\}, & C_{6}=\left\{D_{1} \cup D_{3}\right\}, & C_{7}=\left\{D_{2} \cup D_{3}\right\}\end{array}$
Let $p=29$ and $d=4$. Using $\alpha=2$ as a primitive generator, $\bmod 29, D_{0}=$ $\{1,16,24,7,25,23,20\}, D_{1}=\{2,3,19,14,21,17,11\}, D_{2}=\{4,6,9,28,13,5,22\}$, $D_{3}=\{8,12,18,27,26,10,15\}$. Using the CRT,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(0, C_{0}\right)=88\{1,16,24,7,25,23,20,2,3,19,14,21,17,11\}(\bmod 232) \\
& \left(1, C_{1}\right)=145+88\{1,16,24,7,25,23,20,4,6,9,28,13,5,22\}(\bmod 232) \\
& \ldots \text { etc }
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly,

$$
F=\{0,203,29,174\}
$$

Therefore,
$s(t)=1101100001011011100101001100110011100101101110111100000101$ 0101010100111110111100011111001000100100001110100100001000 and the NACF of $s(t)$ is,
$116,2,0,2,-4,-2,0,2,4,2,0,2,-4,-2,0,-2,4,-2,0,2,-4,2,0,2,4,2, \ldots$ etc

Proof. (of Theorem 1). We wish to compute $d_{C}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)$ by evaluating (7) using (8) and (9). For $p=4 f+1, w_{2}^{-1} \in D_{h}$ implies $\pm w_{2} \in D_{h+1(\bmod 2)}$, and we need this for the last three terms of (7). The cyclotomic numbers of order $d=2$ for $p=4 f+1$ are $[0,0]=\frac{p-5}{4},[0,1]=[1,0]=[1,1]=\frac{p-1}{4}$. We have $C_{0}=C_{1}=D_{0}, C_{2}=C_{3}=D_{1}$, $G=\{(1,0),(2,0)\}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{C}(0,0) & =|C|=2(p-1)+2=2 p \\
d_{C}(1,0) & =\left|C_{0} \cap C_{3}\right|+\left|C_{1} \cap C_{0}\right|+\left|C_{2} \cap C_{1}\right|+\left|C_{3} \cap C_{2}\right|+|G \cap(G+(1,0))| \\
& =\left|D_{0}\right|+\left|D_{1}\right|+1=p \\
d_{C}(2,0) & =2\left(\left|C_{0} \cap C_{2}\right|+\left|C_{1} \cap C_{3}\right|\right)+|G \cap(G+(2,0))|=0+0=0 \\
d_{C}(3,0) & =d_{C}(1,0)=p \quad\left(\text { using } d_{C}\left(-w_{1},-w_{2}\right)=d_{C}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)\right) \\
d_{C}\left(0, w_{2}\right) & =\sum_{n=0}^{r-1}\left|C_{n} \cap\left(C_{n}+w_{2}\right)\right|+\sum_{k=0}^{r-1}\left|G \cap\left(k, C_{k}+w_{2}\right)\right| \\
& +\sum_{k=0}^{r=1}\left|\left(k, C_{k}\right) \cap\left(G+\left(0, w_{2}\right)\right)\right| \\
& =[0,0]+[0,0]+[1,1]+[1,1] \\
& +\left|\{(1,0),(2,0)\} \cap\left\{\left(1, C_{1}+w_{2}\right) \cup\left(2, C_{2}+w_{2}\right)\right\}\right| \\
& +\left|\left\{\left(1, C_{1}\right) \cup\left(2, C_{2}\right)\right\} \cap\left\{\left(1, w_{2}\right),\left(2, w_{2}\right)\right\}\right|=p-3+1+1=p-1, \\
& \quad \text { for } w_{2}^{-1} \in D_{0}, \text { or } w_{2}^{-1} \in D_{1} \\
d_{C}\left(1, w_{2}\right) & =\sum_{n=0}^{r-1}\left|C_{n} \cap\left(C_{n-1}+w_{2}\right)\right|+\sum_{k=0}^{r-1}\left|G \cap\left(k+1, C_{k}+w_{2}\right)\right| \\
& +\sum_{k=0}^{r=1}\left|\left(k, C_{k}\right) \cap\left(G+\left(1, w_{2}\right)\right)\right| \\
& =[0,1]+[0,0]+[1,0]+[1,1] \\
& +\left|\{(1,0),(2,0)\} \cap\left\{\left(1, C_{0}+w_{2}\right) \cup\left(2, C_{1}+w_{2}\right)\right\}\right| \\
& +\left|\left\{\left(2, C_{2}\right) \cup\left(3, C_{3}\right)\right\} \cap\left\{\left(2, w_{2}\right),\left(3, w_{2}\right)\right\}\right|=p-2+2=p, \\
& \quad \text { for } w_{2}^{-1} \in D_{0} \text { or } w_{2}^{-1} \in D_{1} \\
\text { similarly } & d_{C}\left(2, w_{2}\right)=p-1+1+1=p+1, \quad d_{C}\left(3, w_{2}\right)=p-2+2=p \\
& \quad \text { for } w_{2}^{-1} \in D_{0}, \text { or } w_{2}^{-1} \in D_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting $d_{C}\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)$ back into (6) gives the PACF distribution $\{0,4,-4, N\}$ for $s^{\prime}(t)$, implying an NACF distribution $\{0,2,-2\}$ for $s(t)$.

Proof. (of Theorem 2) The proof is identical to that of Theorem 1, except that, for $p=$ $4 f+3, w_{2}^{-1} \in D_{h}$ implies $w_{2} \in D_{h+1(\bmod 2)}$, and $-w_{2} \in D_{h}$. Moreover, the cyclotomic numbers of order $d=2$ for $p=4 f+3$ are $[0,1]=\frac{p+1}{4},[0,0]=[1,0]=[1,1]=\frac{p-3}{4}$.

Conjectures $1-3$ will hopefully be proved in a similar way to the above, but now cyclotomic numbers of order 4 are required.

## 4 Asymptotic Merit Factors

By computation, using (5), the constructions of Theorems 1 and 2 give sequences, $s(t)$, with Merit Factor (MF) $M_{s} \rightarrow 6.0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$. Figs 1 and 2 plot MF for increasing prime values, $p$, for the constructions of Theorems 1 and 2. Very good MFs occur for no negacyclic shift, but Fig 3 presents the best MF over all negacyclic shifts. The highest MF sometimes occurs for a non-zero negacyclic shift. The asymptote of $M_{s}=6.0$ is the best known for an infinite construction class of binary sequences $[7,8]$, where cyclically-shifted Legendre and ModifiedJacobi sequences also attain this maximum. ${ }^{4}$. Unlike Legendre and ModifiedJacobi sequences, no final shift of the constructed sequences is required to obtain
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Fig. 1. NegaPeriodic Construction, Theorem 1, $p=4 f+1$


Fig. 2. NegaPeriodic Construction, Theorem 2, $p=4 f+3$
the asymptote of 6.0. Lemma 3 of the next section shows that the constructions of Theorems 1 and 2 are closely related to Legendre sequences.

## 5 Mappings Between Periodic and Negaperiodic Autocorrelation

Although the sequence constructions of this paper are new, we also highlight further symmetries that trivially relate PACF and/or NACF coefficient distributions of binary sequences $s(t)$ and $e(t)$, where $s$ and $e$ are not necessarily the same length.

Lemma 2. Let $e(t)=s(t)+t(\bmod 2)$, where $s(t)$ and $e(t)$ are binary sequences of length $K$. Then,

$$
Q_{e}(\omega)=(-1)^{\omega} P_{s}(\omega)
$$



Fig. 3. NegaPeriodic Constructions, $p=4 f+1$, Theorem 1 (lh), $p=4 f+3$ Theorem 2 (rh), Best Negacyclic Shift

Proof. Direct inspection, or by examination of the $2 K$-point Discrete Fourier Transform (DFT) of $s(t)$ and $e(t)$.
Lemma 3. Let $e(t)=s(t(\bmod K)), t=0,3(\bmod 4), e(t)=s(t(\bmod K))+$ $1(\bmod 2), t=1,2(\bmod 4)$, where $s(t)$ and $e(t)$ are binary sequences of length $K$ and $2 K$, respectively, $K$ odd, and $0 \leq t<2 K$. Then,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
Q_{e}(\omega)=0 & \omega \text { odd } \\
Q_{e}(\omega)=(-1)^{\frac{\omega}{2}} 2 P_{s}(\omega(\bmod K)) \omega \text { even, } \quad 0 \leq \omega<2 K
\end{array}
$$

Proof. Direct inspection or by examination of $K$ and $2 K$-point DFTs of $s$ and $e$, respectively.
Example 3: Consider the negated Legendre sequence of length $K=13, s(t)=$ 1101100001101. This sequence has PACF
$P_{s}(\omega)=13,1,-3,1,1,-3,-3,-3,-3,1,1,-3,1 . e(t)$ is of length $2 K=26$ and is given by,

$$
\begin{aligned}
e(t) & =11011000011011101100001101+01100110011001100110011001 \quad(\bmod 2) \\
& =10111110000010001010010100
\end{aligned}
$$

and $e(t)$ has NACF,

$$
Q_{e}(\omega)=26,0,-6,0,-2,0,-6,0,6,0,2,0,-2,0,2,0,-2,0,-6,0,6,0,2,0,6,0
$$

$e(t)$ is identical to $s^{\prime}(t)$ of Example 1 apart from the first bit. In general, an equivalent construction to that of Theorems 1 and 2 for $K=p$ is to make $s(t)$ a negated Legendre sequence, apply Lemma 3, then flip bit 0 or bit $K$.
Lemma 4. Let $e(t)=s(t(\bmod K)), 4 X t, e(t)=s(t(\bmod K))+1(\bmod 2)$, $4 \mid t$, where $s(t)$ and $e(t)$ are binary sequences of length $K$ and $4 K$, respectively, $K$ odd. Then,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
Q_{e}(\omega) & =0 \\
Q_{e}(\omega) & =4 P_{s}(\omega \\
(\bmod K)) & 4 \mid \omega, \\
4 \mid \omega, & 0 \leq \omega<4 K
\end{array}
$$

Proof. Direct inspection or by examination of $K$ and $4 K$-point DFTs of $s$ and $e$, respectively.

## 6 Conclusion

This paper has presented new cyclotomic constructions for infinite families of length $N=2 p$ and $N=4 p$ binary sequences with very low negaperiodic autocorrelation. The technique builds length $2 N$ sequences with low periodic autocorrelation with the second half the negation of the first half. The desired length $N$ sequence is then simply the first half. Two of the constructions exhibit a Merit Factor approaching 6.0 as $N$ approaches infinity. This is the highest asymptote currently known. A final section highlights further mappings which relate periodic autocorrelation of a binary sequence to the periodic or negaperiodic autocorrelation of another binary sequence.

## References

1. Ding, C.,Helleseth, T.,Martinsen, H.M.: New Classes of Binary Sequences with Three-Level Autocorrelation. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 47 1. Jan. (2001) 428433
2. Golay, M.J.E.: Complementary Series. IRE Trans. Inform. Theory IT-7 Apr. (1961) 82-87
3. M.J.E.Golay, M.J.E.: Sieves for Low Autocorrelation Binary Sequences. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 23 1. Jan. (1977) 43-51
4. Golay, M.J.E.: The Merit Factor of Long Low Autocorrelation Binary Sequences. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 28 3. May (1982) 543-549
5. Golay, M.J.E.: A New Search for Skewsymmetric Binary Sequences with Optimal Merit Factors. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 36 5. Sept. (1990) 1163-1166
6. Høholdt, T., Jensen, H.E., Justesen, J.: Aperiodic Correlations and the Merit Factor of a Class of Binary Sequences. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 31 4. July (1985) 549552
7. Jensen, J.M.,Elbrønd Jensen, H.,Høholdt T.: The Merit Factor of Binary Sequences Related to Difference Sets. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 37 3. May (1991) 617-626
8. Høholdt, T.: The Merit Factor of Binary Sequences. Difference Sets, Sequences and their Correlation Properties, A.Pott et a. (eds.), Series C: Mathematical and Physical Sciences, Kluwer Academic Publishers 542 (1999) 227-237
9. Luke, H.D.: Binary Odd-Periodic Complementary Sequences. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 43 1. Jan. (1997) 365-367
10. Parker, M.G., Tellambura, C.: Generalised Rudin-Shapiro Constructions. WCC2001, Workshop on Coding and Cryptography, Paris(France) Jan. 8-12 (2001)
11. Paterson, K.G., Tarokh, V.: On the Existence and Construction of Good Codes with Low Peak-to-Average Power Ratios. IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory 46 6. Sept. (2000) 1974-1987

[^0]:    

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Computations show that binary $s(t)$ satisfying $\min _{s(t)}\left(\max _{1 \leq \omega<N}\left|Q_{s}(\omega)\right|\right)=2$ exist for all even $N$ up to $N=38$. This is in contrast to PACF when $4 \mid N$, where computations suggest $\min _{s(t)}\left(\max _{1 \leq \omega<N}\left|P_{s}(\omega)\right|\right)=4$.
    ${ }^{3}$ The Rudin-Shapiro-based constructions [2, $\left.6,11,10\right]$, achieve PACF and NACF upper bounds which appear to be asymptotically of the same order, leading to an asymptotic MF of 3.0.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ The constructions of [1] appear to asymptote to $M_{s}=1.5$ or $M_{s}=3.0$

