REPORTS
IN
INFORMATICS

ISSN 0333-3590

Finding minimum feedback vertex set in bipartite graph

Fedor V. Fomin and Artem V. Pyatkin

REPORT NO 291 **February 2005**

Department of Informatics

UNIVERSITY OF BERGEN

Bergen, Norway

This report has URL http://www.ii.uib.no/publikasjoner/texrap/ps/2005-291.ps

Reports in Informatics from Department of Informatics, University of Bergen, Norway, is available at http://www.ii.uib.no/publikasjoner/texrap/.

Requests for paper copies of this report can be sent to:

Department of Informatics, University of Bergen, Høyteknologisenteret,
P.O. Box 7800, N-5020 Bergen, Norway

Finding minimum feedback vertex set in bipartite graph

Fedor V. Fomin*
Department of Informatics, University of Bergen,
N-5020 Bergen, Norway
fomin@ii.uib.no

Artem V. Pyatkin†
Department of Informatics, University of Bergen,
N-5020 Bergen, Norway
Artem.Pyatkin@ii.uib.no

17th February 2005

Abstract

We show that minimum feedback vertex set in a bipartite graph on n vertices can be found in $1.8621^n \cdot n^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$ time.

Keywords: *minimum feedback vertex set, bipartite graph, exact algorithm*

1 Introduction

Let $G = (V, E)$ be an undirected graph on n vertices. The set $X \subset V$ is called a *feedback vertex set* or an *FVS* if $G \setminus X$ is a forest. The problem of finding minimum FVS has many applications and its history can be traced back to the early 60s (see [10] for the survey). The problem is also one of the classical NP complete problem from Karp's list [12]. Thus not surprisingly, for several decades almost every new algorithmic paradigm was tried on this problem including approximation algorithms [1, 2, 9, 13], linear programming [7], local search [4], polyhedral combinatorics [6, 11], probabilistic algorithms [14], and parameterized complexity [8, 15].

In recent years the topic of exact (exponential-time) algorithms for NP hard problems has led to much research [17]. However, despite much progress on exponential-time solutions to other graph problems such as chromatic number [3, 5] or maximum independent set [16], the only worst-case bound known for finding minimum FVS is that of $\mathcal{O}^*(2^n)$ obtained by trying all possible vertex subsets. Throughout this paper we use a modified big-Oh notation that suppresses all polynomially bounded factors. For functions f and g we write $f(n) = \mathcal{O}^*(g(n))$ if $f(n) = g(n) \cdot n^{\mathcal{O}(1)}$.

In this note we present an algorithm finding a minimum FVS in a bipartite graph in $\mathcal{O}^*(1.8621^n)$ time. Note that an easy reduction (subdividing all edges) shows that the problem remains NP-hard even for bipartite graphs.

*Supported by Norges forskningsråd projects 160778/V30 and 162731/V00

†The work was partially supported by grants of the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (project codes 05-01-00395 and 05-01-00953), INTAS (project code 04–77–7173)

2 Algorithm

We need the following statement, which can be easily proved by Stirling's formula.

Proposition 2.1. *Let V be a set of cardinality n and let $0 < c \leq 1/2$. Then the number of all subsets $S \subset V$ of cardinality at most cn is bounded by $\mathcal{O}^*(1/(c^c(1-c)^{1-c})^n)$.*

First we present an algorithm finding the minimum FVS in graphs having large independent sets, and then improve it slightly for bipartite graphs.

Lemma 2.2. *Let A be an independent set in a graph G and let X be a minimum FVS in G having the largest intersection with $B = V \setminus A$. Also let $C \subseteq A$ be the set of all vertices from A having at least 3 neighbors in $B \setminus X$. Then*

a) $X \cap A \subseteq C$;

b) $|C| \leq \frac{3 \cdot |B \setminus X|}{2}$ and $|C \setminus X| \leq \frac{|B \setminus X|}{2}$.

Proof. Let $S = B \setminus X$ and $X_A = X \cap A$.

a) Let us note that X_A cannot contain a vertex v having at most one neighbor in S since then $X \setminus \{v\}$ would be an FVS of smaller cardinality. If a vertex $u \in X_A$ has two neighbors in S (say, v and w) then $X \cup \{v\} \setminus \{u\}$ is a minimum FVS having larger intersection with B, contradicting the choice of X. Thus $X_A \subset C$.

b) Since B is an FVS and X is a minimum FVS, we have that $|C \cap X| \leq |S|$. Let $S' = C \setminus X$. The set $S \cup S'$ induces a forest in G with at least $3 \cdot |S'|$ edges and therefore $3|S'| < |S| + |S'|$. Thus $|S'| \leq |S|/2$ and $|C| \leq 3 \cdot |S|/2$. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let G be a graph with a given independent set of size $(1-\varepsilon)n$. Then a minimum FVS in G can be found in $\mathcal{O}^*((1+3\sqrt{3}/2)^{\varepsilon n})$ time.*

Proof. Let A be an independent set of size $(1-\varepsilon)n$ and let $B = V \setminus A$.

Consider the following procedure of finding a minimum FVS X having maximum number of vertices from B. We perform in three steps.

Step 1. First we try to guess how the set $B \setminus X$ looks like. We try all subsets $S \subseteq B$. If $G[S]$ contains a cycle then we reject this subset. Otherwise let $X_B = B \setminus S$. For every vertex $u \in A$ having exactly two neighbors (say, v and w) in S add an edge vw to $G[S]$. If the resulting graph contains a cycle we again can reject S by property a) of Lemma 2.2. If this is not the case, consider subset $C_S \subset A$ of all vertices having at least 3 neighbors in S. If $|C_S| > 3|S|/2$ we again can reject S by property b) of Lemma 2.2.

Step 2. For each set S that was not rejected at the previous step, we try all possible subsets $S' \subset C_S$ of size at most $|S|/2$. We may restrict the search to subsets of such size due to property b) of Lemma 2.2. If $S \cup S'$ induces a forest then we put $X = X_B \cup (C_S \setminus S')$ in the list of potential candidates for minimum FVS.

Step 3. Among all potential candidates we choose the one of minimum cardinality. It is a desired minimum FVS.

The correctness of the procedure follows from the fact that the list of potential candidates does not contain only the sets X which do not satisfy the conditions of Lemma 2.2.

Now let us evaluate the running time of the algorithm. At step 1 we consider all $2^{\varepsilon n}$ subsets. In the worst case, for each of them at step 2 we have to consider at most $\binom{3|S|/2}{|S|/2}$ subsets which is $\mathcal{O}^*((3/2^{2/3})^{3|S|/2})$ by Proposition 2.1. So, the overall running time of the algorithm is

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{s=0}^{\varepsilon n}\binom{\varepsilon n}{s}\sum_{i=0}^{s/2}\binom{3s/2}{i} &= \mathcal{O}^*(\sum_{s=0}^{\varepsilon n}\binom{\varepsilon n}{s}(3/2^{2/3})^{3s/2}) \\ &= \mathcal{O}^*(\sum_{s=0}^{\varepsilon n}\binom{\varepsilon n}{s}(3^{3/2}/2)^s) = \mathcal{O}^*((1+3\sqrt{3}/2)^{\varepsilon n}).\end{aligned}$$

□

Note that $(1+3\sqrt{3}/2)^{\varepsilon} < 2$ for $\varepsilon \le 0.54135$. Since a bipartite graph has an independent set of cardinality at least $n/2$, Lemma 2.3 yields that a minimum FVS in bipartite graphs can be found in $\mathcal{O}^*((1+3\sqrt{3}/2)^{n/2}) = \mathcal{O}^*(1.8968^n)$ time. In Lemma 2.3 we used only the fact that a graph contains independent set A of size $(1-\varepsilon)n$. To prove the next theorem we exploit the fact that the graph is bipartite.

Theorem 2.4. *A minimum FVS of a bipartite graph can be found in time $\mathcal{O}^*(1.8621^n)$.*

Proof. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a bipartite graph with bipartition $V = A \cup B$, $|A| = (1-\varepsilon)n$, $|B| = \varepsilon n$. We assume that $|B| \le |A|$, i. e. $\varepsilon = |B|/n \le 1/2$.

Consider the following procedure. Steps 1 and 2 of this procedure are similar to the steps from Lemma 2.3 and Steps 3 and 4 can be seen as applying the procedure from Lemma 2.3 to the set B.

Step 1. We try all subsets $S \subseteq B$ of cardinality at most $\varepsilon n/2$. Let $X_B = B \setminus S$. For every vertex $u \in A$ having exactly two neighbors (say, v and w) in S add an edge vw to S. If after that $G[S]$ contains a cycle, reject S. Otherwise, compute the subset $C_S \subset A$ of all vertices having at least 3 neighbors in S. If $|C_S| > 3|S|/2$ reject S.

Step 2. For each set $S \subseteq B$ that was not rejected at the first step, consider all subsets $S' \subset C_S$ of cardinality at most $|S|/2$. If $S \cup S'$ induces a forest then mark $X = X_B \cup (C_S \setminus S')$ as a candidate for a minimum FVS.

Step 3. Try all subsets $P' \subset A$. Let $Y_A = A \setminus P'$. For every vertex $u \in B$ having exactly two neighbors (say, v and w) in P' add an edge vw to P'. If after that $G[P']$ contains a cycle, reject it. Otherwise try all subsets $C'_{P'} \subseteq B$ of all vertices having at least 3 neighbors in P'. If $|C'_{P'}| > |P'|/2 + \varepsilon n/2$, reject P'.

Step 4. For each set P' that was not rejected at the third step, consider all subsets $P \subset C'_{P'}$ of cardinality at most $|P'|/2$. If $P \cup P'$ induces a forest then $Y = Y_A \cup (C'_{P'} \setminus P)$ is a candidate to be a minimum FVS.

Step 5. Choose among all the candidates X and Y found the one having minimum cardinality. It is a desired minimum FVS.

Let us argue first that the described procedure finds a minimum FVS. The argumentation is similar to those used in Lemma 2.3. Let X and Y be minimum FVSs having the largest intersections with B and A respectively. If $|X \cap B| \ge \varepsilon n/2$ then X is found at steps 1–2. Otherwise, $|Y \cap B| \le |X \cap B| \le \varepsilon n/2$, and Y will be found at steps 3–4.

We claim that the running time of the described procedure is

$$\mathcal{O}^*(\max\{(108^{\varepsilon n/4}), 2^{\varepsilon n/2}(1+\sqrt{2})^{(1-\varepsilon)n}\}). \tag{1}$$

In fact, steps 1–2 require

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{s=0}^{\varepsilon n/2}\binom{\varepsilon n}{s}\sum_{i=0}^{s/2}\binom{3s/2}{i} = \mathcal{O}^*(\sum_{s=0}^{\varepsilon n/2}\binom{\varepsilon n}{s}(3\sqrt{3}/2)^s) &= \mathcal{O}^*(2^{\varepsilon n}(3\sqrt{3}/2)^{\varepsilon n/2}) \\ &= \mathcal{O}^*(108^{\varepsilon n/4}),\end{aligned}$$

time and steps 3–4 require

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{s=0}^{(1-\varepsilon)n} \binom{(1-\varepsilon)n}{s} \sum_{i=0}^{s/2} \binom{(\varepsilon n+s)/2}{i} &= \mathcal{O}^*(\sum_{s=0}^{(1-\varepsilon)n} \binom{(1-\varepsilon)n}{s} 2^{(\varepsilon n+s)/2}) \\ &= \mathcal{O}^*(2^{\varepsilon n/2}(1+\sqrt{2})^{(1-\varepsilon)n})\end{aligned}$$

time.

Now for $\varepsilon \geq 0.4856$ the theorem follows from (1) and for $\varepsilon \leq 0.4856$ the theorem follows from Lemma 2.3. □

References

[1] V. Bafna, P. Berman, and T. Fujito, *A 2-approximation algorithm for the undirected feedback vertex set problem*, SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics, 12 (1999), pp. 289–297.

[2] R. Bar-Yehuda, D. Geiger, J. Naor, and R. M. Roth, *Approximation algorithms for the feedback vertex set problem with applications to constraint satisfaction and Bayesian inference*, SIAM Journal on Computing, 27 (1998), pp. 942–959.

[3] R. Beigel and D. Eppstein, *3-coloring in time $O(1.3289^n)$*, Journal of Algorithms, 54 (2005), pp. 444–453.

[4] L. Brunetta, F. Maffioli, and M. Trubian, *Solving the feedback vertex set problem on undirected graphs*, Discrete Applied Mathematics, 101 (2000), pp. 37–51.

[5] J. M. Byskov, *Enumerating maximal independent sets with applications to graph colouring*, Operations Research Letters, 32 (2004), pp. 547–556.

[6] M.-C. Cai, X. Deng, and W. Zang, *A min-max theorem on feedback vertex sets*, Mathematics of Operations Research, 27 (2002), pp. 361–371.

[7] F. A. Chudak, M. X. Goemans, D. S. Hochbaum, and D. P. Williamson, *A primal-dual interpretation of two 2-approximation algorithms for the feedback vertex set problem in undirected graphs*, Operations Research Letters, 22 (1998), pp. 111–118.

[8] R. G. Downey and M. R. Fellows, *Parameterized complexity*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999.

[9] G. Even, J. Naor, B. Schieber, and L. Zosin, *Approximating minimum subset feedback sets in undirected graphs with applications*, SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics, 13 (2000), pp. 255–267.

[10] P. Festa, P. M. Pardalos, and M. G. C. Resende, *Feedback set problems*, in Handbook of combinatorial optimization, Supplement Vol. A, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 1999, pp. 209–258.

[11] M. Funke and G. Reinelt, *A polyhedral approach to the feedback vertex set problem*, in Integer programming and combinatorial optimization, vol. 1084 of LNCS, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996, pp. 445–459.

[12] R. M. Karp, *Reducibility among combinatorial problems*, in Complexity of computer computations, Plenum Press, New York, 1972, pp. 85–103.

[13] J. Kleinberg and A. Kumar, *Wavelength conversion in optical networks*, Journal of Algorithms, 38 (2001), pp. 25–50.

[14] P. M. Pardalos, T. Qian, and M. G. C. Resende, *A greedy randomized adaptive search procedure for the feedback vertex set problem*, Journal of Combinatorial Optimization, 2 (1999), pp. 399–412.

[15] V. Raman, S. Saurabh, and C. R. Subramanian, *Faster fixed parameter tractable algorithms for undirected feedback vertex set*, in Proceedings of the 13th International Symposium on Algorithms and Computation (ISAAC 2002), vol. 2518 of LNCS, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002, pp. 241–248.

[16] J. M. Robson, *Algorithms for maximum independent sets*, Journal of Algorithms, 7 (1986), pp. 425–440.

[17] G. Woeginger, *Exact algorithms for NP-hard problems: A survey*, in Combinatorial Optimization - Eureka, you shrink!, vol. 2570 of LNCS, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003, pp. 185–207.