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Abstract: The matrix equation $X-A^{*} \sqrt{X^{-1}} A=I$ in this paper is studied. There is an iterative method for obtaining of a positive definite solution of this equation. Sufficient conditions for existence of positive definite solutions are proved. Results of numerical expiriments are given.
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## 1. Introduction

We consider the matrix equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
X-A^{*} \sqrt{X^{-1}} A=I \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I$ is $n \times n$ a unit matrix and $A$ is $n \times n$ a invertible matrix. We shall study the equation (1) for the existence of a Hermitian positive definite solution $X,(X>0)$.

In many physical applications we must solve a system of linear equations [1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
M x=f \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the positive definite matrix $M$ arises from a finite difference approximation to an elliptic partial differential equation. As an example, let

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I & A \\
A^{*} & I
\end{array}\right)
$$

We consider the matrix $M=\tilde{M}+\operatorname{diag}[I-X, 2 I]$ where

$$
\tilde{M}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
X & A \\
A^{*} & -I
\end{array}\right) .
$$

We can decompose the matrix $\tilde{M}$ via the following way

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
X & A  \tag{3}\\
A^{*} & -I
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I & 0 \\
A^{*} X^{-1} & I
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
X & A \\
0 & -X^{2}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

In order to exists the decompositon (3) the matrix $X$ must be a solution of the matrix equation $Y-A^{*} \sqrt{Y^{-1}} A=I, \quad X=\sqrt{Y}$.

[^0]We find a $L U$-decomposition to the matrix $M$. The solving of the system $\tilde{M} y=f$ is transformed to the solving of two linear systems that have a left block coefficient matrix and a right block coefficient matrix, respectively. For computing the solution of (2) the Woodbury formula [3] can be applied.

In this paper we propose an iterative method which is converged to a positive definite solution of (1). The rate of convergence of these methods depend of the parameter $\alpha$. Numerical examples are discussed and results of experiments are given. We study the equation (1) of a positive definite solution because the solving of linear systems having a positive definite matrix is numerically stable [7].

## 2. Solution of the matrix equation

We will describe an iterative method which is suitable for obtaining to a positive definite solution of the equation (1). We start with some properties which will be used throughout this paper.
(i) If $P \geq Q>0$ then $P^{-1} \leq Q^{-1}$.
(ii) If $P \geq Q>0$ then $\sqrt{P} \geq \sqrt{Q}$.

Consider the sequence of the following matrices

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{0}=\alpha I, \quad X_{k+1}=I+A^{*} \sqrt{X_{k}^{-1}} A, \quad k=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will prove the following theorems
Theorem 1. If there is a real $\alpha$ so that $\alpha>1$ and
(i) $\sqrt{\alpha}(\alpha-1) I<A^{*} A$,
(ii) $\frac{\sqrt{\alpha}}{(\alpha-1)^{2}}\left(A A^{*}\right)^{2}-A^{*} A>\sqrt{\alpha} I$,
(iii) $\|A\|^{2}<2 \alpha \sqrt{\alpha}$.

Then the equation (1) has a positive definite solution.
Proof. We consider the sequence (4). For $X_{1}$ we have

$$
X_{1}=I+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} A^{*} A
$$

From the condition (i) we obtain

$$
X_{0}=\alpha I<I+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} A^{*} A=X_{1}
$$

Hence $X_{0}<X_{1}$.
For $X_{2}$ we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
X_{2}=I+A^{*} \sqrt{X_{1}^{-1}} A \\
=I+A^{*} \sqrt{\left(I+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} A^{*} A\right)^{-1} A}
\end{gathered}
$$

Applying the condition (ii) yeilds

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} A^{*} A+I & <\frac{1}{(\alpha-1)^{2}}\left(A A^{*}\right)^{2} \\
\sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} A^{*} A+I\right)^{-1}} & >(\alpha-1) A^{-*} A^{-1} \\
X_{2}=I+A^{*} \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} A^{*} A+I\right)^{-1}} A & >\alpha I=X_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently $X_{0}<X_{2}$.
Using $X_{0}<X_{1}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{0}^{-1} & >X_{1}^{-1} \\
A^{*} \sqrt{X_{0}^{-1}} A & >A^{*} \sqrt{X_{1}^{-1}} A \\
X_{1} & >X_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $X_{0}<X_{2}<X_{1}$.
We receive by analogy

$$
X_{1}>X_{3}
$$

and

$$
X_{3}>X_{2}
$$

Consequently $X_{0}<X_{2}<X_{3}<X_{1}$.
We receive by analogy that for each two integer numbers $s, k$ is satisfied

$$
X_{0} \leq X_{2 k}<X_{2 k+2}<X_{2 s+3}<X_{2 s+1} \leq X_{1}
$$

Consequently the subsequences $\left\{X_{2 k}\right\},\left\{X_{2 s+1}\right\}$ are convergent ones to positive definite matrices. These sequences have a common boundary. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|X_{2 k+1}-X_{2 k}\right\| & =\left\|A^{*}\left(\sqrt{X_{2 k}^{-1}}-\sqrt{X_{2 k-1}^{-1}}\right) A\right\| \\
& =\left\|A^{*} \sqrt{X_{2 k}^{-1}}\left(\sqrt{X_{2 k-1}}-\sqrt{X_{2 k}}\right) \sqrt{X_{2 k-1}^{-1}} A\right\| \\
& \leq\|A\|^{2}\left\|\sqrt{X_{2 k}^{-1}}\right\|\left\|\sqrt{X_{2 k-1}^{-1}}\right\|\left\|\sqrt{X_{2 k-1}}-\sqrt{X_{2 k}}\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

We consider the equation

$$
\sqrt{X_{2 k-1}}\left(\sqrt{X_{2 k-1}}-\sqrt{X_{2 k}}\right)+\left(\sqrt{X_{2 k-1}}-\sqrt{X_{2 k}}\right) \sqrt{X_{2 k}}=X_{2 k-1}-X_{2 k}
$$

Since $X_{2 k+1}>X_{2 s}$ for each $k, s$ then $Y=\sqrt{X_{2 k-1}}-\sqrt{X_{2 k}}$ is a positive definite soluiton of the matrix equation

$$
\sqrt{X_{2 k-1}} Y+Y \sqrt{X_{2 k}}=X_{2 k-1}-X_{2 k}
$$

According to theorem 8.5.2 [4] we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\sqrt{X_{2 k-1}} t}\left(X_{2 k-1}-X_{2 k}\right) e^{-\sqrt{X_{2 k}} t} d t \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $X_{0}<X_{s}<X_{1}$ are positive definite matrices then

$$
\sqrt{X_{0}^{-1}}>\sqrt{X_{s}^{-1}}, s=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

and

$$
\left\|\sqrt{X_{s}^{-1}}\right\| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|X_{2 k+1}-X_{2 k}\right\| & \leq \frac{1}{\alpha}\|A\|^{2}\left\|\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\sqrt{X_{2 k-1} t}}\left(X_{2 k-1}-X_{2 k}\right) e^{-\sqrt{X_{2 k}} t} d t\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\alpha}\|A\|^{2} \frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\alpha}}\left\|X_{2 k-1}-X_{2 k}\right\| \\
& \leq\left(\frac{1}{2 \alpha \sqrt{\alpha}}\|A\|^{2}\right)^{2 k}\left\|X_{1}-X_{0}\right\| \\
& \leq\left(\frac{1}{2 \alpha \sqrt{\alpha}}\|A\|^{2}\right)^{2 k}\left\|\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} A^{*} A+(1-\alpha) I\right\|
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently

$$
\left\|X_{2 k+1}-X_{2 k}\right\| \leq\left(\frac{1}{2 \alpha \sqrt{\alpha}}\|A\|^{2}\right)^{2 k}\left\|\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} A^{*} A+(1-\alpha) I\right\| .
$$

and

$$
\left\|X_{2 k+1}-X_{2 k}\right\| \rightarrow 0, \quad k \rightarrow \infty
$$

Hence

$$
\max \left(\left\|X_{2 k+1}-X\right\|,\left\|X-X_{2 k}\right\|\right) \leq\left(\frac{1}{2 \alpha \sqrt{\alpha}}\|A\|^{2}\right)^{2 k}\left\|\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} A^{*} A+(1-\alpha) I\right\|
$$

Remark. In the case $\alpha=1$ the conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1 are satisfied and then the equation (1) has a positive definite solution.

Theorem 2. If there is a real $\beta$ so that $\beta>1$ and
(i) $A^{*} A<\sqrt{\beta}(\beta-1) I$,
(ii) $\frac{\sqrt{\beta}}{(\beta-1)^{2}}\left(A A^{*}\right)^{2}-\sqrt{\beta} I<A^{*} A$,
(iii) $\|A\|^{2}<2 \rho \sqrt{\rho}$,
where $\rho$ is the minimal eigenvalue of the matrix $I+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}} A^{*} A$. Then the equation (1) has a positive definite solution.

Proof. The theorem is proved analogous of the theorem 1 as we consider the iterative $\operatorname{method}(4)$ with $X_{0}=\beta I$.

## 3. Numerical experiments

We made numerical experiments for computing of a positive definite solution of the equation (1). The solution is computed for different matrices $A$ and different values of $n$. Denote $X$ the solution which is obtained by the iterative method (4), i.e.

$$
X_{k+1}=I+A^{*} \sqrt{X_{k}^{-1}} A, \quad X_{0}=\alpha I, \quad k=0,1,2, \ldots
$$

and $m_{X}$ be the smallest number $k$, for which

$$
\left\|X_{k}-X\right\| \leq\left(\frac{\|A\|^{2}}{2 \alpha \sqrt{\alpha}}\right)^{k}\left\|\frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} A^{*} A+(1-\alpha) I\right\| \leq 10^{-5}
$$

Denote $Y$ the solution which is obtained by the iterative method (4), in case. $X_{0}=\beta I$ and $m_{Y}$ be the smallest number $r$, for which

$$
\left\|X_{r}-Y\right\| \leq\left(\frac{\|A\|^{2}}{2 \rho \sqrt{\rho}}\right)^{r}\left\|(\beta-1) I-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}} A^{*} A\right\| \leq 10^{-5}
$$

where $\rho$ is the minimal eigenvalue of the matrix $I+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}} A^{*} A$.
Denote $\varepsilon$ the norm

$$
\varepsilon=\left\|X_{m_{X}}-X_{m_{Y}}\right\|_{\infty} .
$$

We can consider decomposition of the matrix $M$,

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
X & A \\
A^{*} & I
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I & 0 \\
A^{*} X^{-1} & I
\end{array}\right) \quad\left(\begin{array}{cc}
X & A \\
0 & X
\end{array}\right) .
$$

This decomposition leads to solving the matrix equation $X+A^{T} X^{-1} A=I$. Furthermore we solve this matrix equation with same matrices $A$. We compute the positive definite solution [2] by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{0}=I, \quad X_{p+1}=I-A^{T} X_{p}^{-1} A, p=0,1, \ldots \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote $k_{X}$ the smallest number $p$ so that

$$
\left\|X_{p}-X\right\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(4\|A\|^{2}\right)^{p} \leq 10^{-5} .
$$

This inequality follows immediately from the recursion problem (2b) of [2] and Lemma 4 [2].
Example 1. Let $A$ has the form

$$
A=\left(a_{i j}\right)= \begin{cases}a_{i j}=\frac{2(2 n+i)}{2 n^{3}} & i=j \\ a_{i j}=\frac{2(i+j+n)}{n^{3}} & i \neq j\end{cases}
$$

Example 2. Let $A$ has the form

$$
A=\operatorname{diag}\left[\frac{1}{2+1}, \frac{2}{2.2+1}, \ldots, \frac{n}{2 n+1}\right]
$$

Example 3. Let $A$ has the form

$$
A=\left(a_{i j}\right)= \begin{cases}a_{i j}=25\left(1-\frac{i(n-i)}{20^{2} n}\right) & i=j \\ a_{i j}=\frac{(n-i)}{30^{3} n} & i \neq j\end{cases}
$$

Example 4. Let $A$ has the form

$$
A=\left(a_{i j}\right)= \begin{cases}a_{i j}=3\left(1-\frac{i}{10 n^{2}}\right) & i=j \\ a_{i j}=\frac{(i-j)}{10 n^{3}} & i \neq j\end{cases}
$$

The results from experiments are given in the following tables.
Table 1.

|  | Example 1 $(\alpha=1)$ |  |  |  |  | Example 2 $(\alpha=1.1)$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | $k_{X}$ | $\beta$ | $m_{X}$ | $m_{Y}$ | $\epsilon$ | $k_{X}$ | $\beta$ | $m_{X}$ | $m_{Y}$ | $\epsilon$ |
| 5 | - | 1.8 | 14 | 14 | $1.730 e-10$ | 57 | 1.20 | 4 | 4 | $4.219 e-6$ |
| 10 | 40 | 1.19 | 5 | 5 | $5.613 e-7$ | 111 | 1.21 | 5 | 5 | $5.362 e-7$ |
| 15 | 10 | 1.09 | 3 | 3 | $5.262 e-6$ | 165 | 1.22 | 5 | 5 | $6.615 e-7$ |
| 20 | 7 | 1.05 | 3 | 3 | $5.579 e-7$ | 220 | 1.22 | 5 | 5 | $7.058 e-7$ |
| 25 | 5 | 1.03 | 2 | 2 | $6.674 e-6$ | 274 | 1.22 | 5 | 5 | $7.339 e-7$ |

Table 2.

|  | Example 3 |  |  |  |  |  | Example 4 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $n$ | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ | $m_{X}$ | $m_{Y}$ | $\epsilon$ | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ | $m_{X}$ | $m_{Y}$ | $\epsilon$ |  |
| 5 | 16.05 | 16.27 | 15 | 15 | $2.608 e-6$ | 4.89 | 5 | 11 | 11 | $4.651 e-6$ |  |
| 10 | 16.05 | 16.48 | 16 | 16 | $2.444 e-6$ | 4.95 | 5.02 | 11 | 11 | $2.972 e-6$ |  |
| 15 | 4.86 | 5.32 | 15 | 16 | $6.444 e-7$ | 4.97 | 5.02 | 10 | 10 | $5.305 e-6$ |  |
| 20 | 1 | 1.17 | 5 | 4 | $3.798 e-7$ | 4.98 | 5.02 | 10 | 10 | $4.243 e-6$ |  |
| 25 | 2.03 | 2.61 | 14 | 16 | $4.776 e-8$ | 4.99 | 5.02 | 10 | 10 | $3.180 e-6$ |  |

## 4. Conclusion

In this paper we consider a nonlinear matrix equation. LU-decompositon (3) leads to the computing of a positive definite solution of the equation (1). We introduced a recursion algorithm from which a positive definite solution can be calculated. When the matrix $A$ satisfy theorem 1 or theorem 2 then we receive the solution of the equation (1) faster than the solution of the equation $X+A^{*} X^{-1} A=I$. There are matrices $A$ (examples 3 and 4 ) for wich the iterative method (4) is convergence but the iterative method (6) is not convergence.

In theorems 2 and 3 bounds are given in term of a parameter $\alpha$. The rate of convergence of the described iterative method (4) depends of the parameter $\alpha$.
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