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#### Abstract

The solution of Hermitian block circulant tridiagonal linear system is investigated. This special kind of system appears in many applications. We propose new approach of El-sayed's method and develop two new algorithms for solving such kind of systems. Numerical experiments with our algorithms and some classical algorithms are executed. Numerical examples are given to illustrate the effectiveness of the proposed algorithms.
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## 1 Introduction

Many problems in practice lead to the solution of linear systems having special coefficient matrices. Tridiagonal block circulant linear systems arise from a finite difference approximation to Poisson's equation on a rectangular region with periodicity conditions [2,5], in approximation of periodic functions using splines $[1,10]$ and etc. It is known that this systems have the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{M} \tilde{x}=f \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\tilde{M}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
A & B & & & & & B^{*}  \tag{2}\\
B^{*} & A & B & & & & \\
& B^{*} & A & . & & & 0 \\
& & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & \\
& & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\
& 0 & & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\
& & & & \cdot & \\
B & & & & & B^{*} & B \\
& A
\end{array}\right) \text {, }
$$

is Hermitian block circulant matrix with block size $n . A$ and $B$ are $m \times m$ blockss. Let introduce the following notation $\tilde{x}=\left\{\tilde{x}_{i}\right\}_{i=1, \ldots, n}, f=\left\{f_{i}\right\}_{i=1, \ldots, n}$, where $\tilde{x}_{i}$ and $f_{i}$, are block with size $m \times 1$.

The purpose of this paper is to develop new algorithms for solving (1) which are based on method proposed in [3]. According to the results obtained in [9] we propose two new algorithms and compare tham with classical LU factorization and Cholesky factorization [6], concerning time for implementation, number of operations and storage memory. Numerical experiments corroborating the theoretical results are reported.

## 2 A Modification of LU factorizations

In [3] El-Sayed extends the Rojo's method [11] in case when the coefficient matrix has block structure. He uses a nonlinear matrix equation for solving the linear system (1). According to the algorithms presented in [9], we propose new approach of the El-Sayed's method. New decomposition of the matrix $\tilde{M}$, which decrease the size of the inverse matrix obtain in the Woodbury's formula is proposed. For solving the problem (1) we use the following steps:

Step 1. Solve the parametric linear system (by [3])

$$
\begin{equation*}
N y=f \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
N=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
X & B & & & & & \\
B^{*} & A & \cdot & & & 0 & \\
& \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & & \\
& & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & \\
& 0 & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\
& & & & & \cdot & B \\
& B^{*} & A
\end{array}\right)
$$

is tridiagonal matrix with block size n. $y=\left\{y_{i}\right\}_{i=1, \ldots, n}$, and $f=\left\{f_{i}\right\}_{i=1, \ldots, n}$ are column vector with block size $n, y_{i}$ and $f_{i}$ are block with size $m \times 1$.
Matrix $N$ admit following LU factorizations

$$
N=L U=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
I_{m} & & & & \\
B^{*} X^{-1} & \cdot & & & 0 \\
& \cdot & \cdot & & \\
0 & & \cdot & . & { }^{*} \dot{X}^{-1}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
X & B & & & \\
& \cdot & \cdot & & 0 \\
& & \cdot & \cdot & \\
0 & & & \cdot & B \\
& & & & X
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $I_{m}$ is identity matrix with size $m \times m$.
The above decomposition exists when the parameter $X$, satisfy the nonlinear matrix equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
X+B^{*} X^{-1} B=A \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this way solving the linear system (3) is equivalent to solving two simpler systems

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
L z=f, & z=\left\{z_{i}\right\}_{i=1, \ldots, n} \\
U y=z, & y=\left\{y_{i}\right\}_{i=1, \ldots, n}
\end{array}
$$

Their solution are respectively

$$
\begin{align*}
& z_{1}=f_{1} \\
& z_{i}=f_{i}-B^{*} X^{-1} z_{i-1}, \quad i=2,3, \ldots, n  \tag{5}\\
& y_{n}=X^{-1} z_{n} \\
& y_{i}=X^{-1}\left(z_{i}-B y_{i+1}\right), \quad i=n-1, n-2, \ldots, 1
\end{align*}
$$

Step 2. Solve tridiagonal block Teoplitz linear system (by [3])

$$
\begin{equation*}
M x=f \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{cccccccc}
A & B & & & & & &  \tag{7}\\
B^{*} & A & B & & & & 0 & \\
& B^{*} & A & \cdot & & & & \\
& & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & & \\
& & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & \\
& 0 & & & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \\
& & & & & & B^{*} & A
\end{array}\right)
$$

is Hermitian tridiagonal block Teoplitz matrix with block size n. $x=\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{i=1, \ldots, n}$ and $f=\left\{f_{i}\right\}_{i=1, \ldots, n}$ are column vectors with block size $n, x_{i}$ and $f_{i}$ are block with size $m \times 1$.
Matrices $M$ and $N$ are related by the connection

$$
M=N+E_{1} V_{1}^{T},
$$

where $\quad E_{1}^{T}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}I_{m} & 0 & \ldots & 0\end{array}\right)^{T}, \quad V_{1}^{T}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}A-X & 0 & \ldots & 0\end{array}\right)$.
Using the Woodbury's formula we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{-1}=N^{-1}-N^{-1} E_{1}\left[I_{m}+V_{1}^{T} N^{-1} E_{1}\right]^{-1} V_{1}^{T} N^{-1} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, for the solution $x$ of (6) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
x & =M^{-1} f \\
& =y-N^{-1} E_{1}\left[I_{m}+(A-X) E_{1}^{T} N^{-1} E_{1}\right]^{-1}(A-X) y_{1} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

The coordinates of $N^{-1} E_{1}$ can be computed by the next algorithm, proposed in [9].
Algorithm R Recurrent computation of blocks $\left(N^{-1} E_{1}\right)_{i}$

- Find the cells $Y_{i}=(-1)^{n-i} X^{-1} P^{n-i} \quad$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$.
- Computed the blocks $\left(N^{-1} E_{1}\right)_{i}$ by the formulas

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(N^{-1} E_{1}\right)_{n}=Y_{n} \\
& \left(N^{-1} E_{1}\right)_{i}=Y_{i}-Q\left(N^{-1} E_{1}\right)_{i+1} \quad \text { for } \quad i=n-1, \ldots, 1,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $P=B^{*} X^{-1}$ and $Q=X^{-1} B$.
In the next step according to the algorithm R we propose two new approaches for solving (1).
Step 3. Solve the system (1)

### 3.1 The matrix $\tilde{M}$ satisfy

$$
\tilde{M}=M+\tilde{U} \tilde{V}^{T}
$$

where

$$
\tilde{U}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
I_{m} & 0 \\
0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots \\
0 & I_{m}
\end{array}\right), \quad \tilde{V}^{T}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & \ldots & B^{*} \\
B & 0 & \ldots & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

are the matrices with block size $n \times 2$ and $2 \times n$. respectively
Using the Woodbury's formula we have

$$
\tilde{M}^{-1}=M^{-1}-M^{-1} \tilde{U}\left[I_{2 m}+\tilde{V}^{T} M^{-1} \tilde{U}\right]^{-1} \tilde{V}^{T} M^{-1}
$$

where $I_{2 m}$ is identity matrix with size $2 m \times 2 m$.
Solution $\tilde{x}$ of (1) holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{x}=\tilde{M}^{-1} f=x-M^{-1} \tilde{U}\left[I_{2 m}+\tilde{V}^{T} M^{-1} \tilde{U}\right]^{-1} \tilde{V}^{T} x \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote the columns block of $\tilde{U}$ with

$$
E_{1}^{T}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
I_{m} & 0 & \ldots & 0
\end{array}\right)^{T}, \quad E_{n}^{T}=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & \ldots & I_{m}
\end{array}\right)^{T}
$$

Compute $M^{-1} \tilde{U}$ by successive calculations of $M^{-1} E_{1}$ and $M^{-1} E_{n}$.

$$
\begin{align*}
M^{-1} E_{1} & =N^{-1} E_{1}-N^{-1} E_{1}\left[I_{m}+V_{1}^{T} N^{-1} E_{1}\right]^{-1} V_{1}^{T} N^{-1} E_{1} \\
M^{-1} E_{n} & =N^{-1} E_{n}-N^{-1} E_{1}\left[I_{m}+V_{1}^{T} N^{-1} E_{1}\right]^{-1} V_{1}^{T} N^{-1} E_{n} \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

Since we already compute (in Step 2.) the elements $N^{-1} E_{1},\left[I_{m}+V_{1}^{T} N^{-1} E_{1}\right]^{-1}$. We recommend using the formulas (11) instead of solving of $2 m$ linear system of kind (6) with right hand side the corresponding different column vectors of $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$. It is easy to observe that separate block of $N^{-1} E_{n}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(N^{-1} E_{n}\right)_{i}=Y_{n+1-i}^{*} \quad \text { for } \quad i=1, \ldots, n, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Y_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$ are blocks from algorithm R .
3.2 Like in [4], in order to decrease the size of the inverse matrix obtain in the Woodbury's formula we propose the following decomposition of matrix $\tilde{M}$

$$
\tilde{M}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
M & \tilde{W} \\
\tilde{W}^{*} & A
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $M$ is block tridiagonal Teoplitz Matrix with block size $n-1 \times n-1$ and $\tilde{W}^{*}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}B & \ldots & B^{*}\end{array}\right)$.
Let denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{x}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\tilde{x}_{1}, & \ldots, & \tilde{x}_{n-1}
\end{array}\right), \quad \tilde{x}=\binom{\hat{x}}{\tilde{x}_{n}}, \\
& \hat{f}=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\tilde{f}_{1}, & \ldots, & \tilde{f}_{n-1}
\end{array}\right), \quad f=\binom{\hat{f}}{f_{n}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then system (1) can be written in the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
M & \tilde{W} \\
\tilde{W}^{*} & A
\end{array}\right)\binom{\hat{x}}{\tilde{x}_{n}}=\binom{\hat{f}}{f_{n}}
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& G \hat{x}=r \\
& \tilde{x}_{n}=A^{-1}\left(f_{n}-\tilde{W}^{*} \hat{x}\right)
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

where $G=M-\tilde{W} A^{-1} \tilde{W}^{*}, \quad r=\hat{f}-\tilde{W} A^{-1} f_{n}$.
By Woodbury's formula we have

$$
G^{-1}=M^{-1}-M^{-1} \tilde{W}\left[A-\tilde{W}^{*} M^{-1} \tilde{W}\right]^{-1} \tilde{W}^{*} M^{-1}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{x}=G^{-1} r=u-M^{-1} \tilde{W}\left[A-\tilde{W}^{*} M^{-1} \tilde{W}\right]^{-1} \tilde{W}^{*} u \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u=M^{-1} r$.
For $M^{-1} \tilde{W}$ according (8) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
M^{-1} \tilde{W}=N^{-1} \tilde{W}-N^{-1} E_{1}\left[I_{m}+V_{1}^{T} N^{-1} E_{1}\right]^{-1} V_{1}^{T} N^{-1} \tilde{W} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Separate blocks of $N^{-1} \tilde{W}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(N^{-1} \tilde{W}\right)_{i}=\left(N^{-1} E_{1}\right)_{i} B^{*}+Y_{n-i}^{*} B \quad \text { for } \quad i=1, \ldots, n-1 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Y_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots, n$ are blocks from algorithm R .

## 3 Algorithms

For realization of Step 1. and Step 2. we use the algorithm M_R from [9] which is:

## Algorithm M_R

1. Solve the matrix equation (4)
2. Find the vector $y=N^{-1} f$ by formulas (5).
3. Compute the matrix $N^{-1} E_{1}$ by algorithm R .
4. Receive the solution $x$ of (6) by formula (9) with successive calculation :

$$
\begin{gathered}
C=(A-X)\left(N^{-1} E_{1}\right)_{1} ;(I+C)^{-1} ;(A-X) y_{1} \\
z=(I+C)^{-1}(A-X) y_{1} ; x=y-N^{-1} E_{1} z .
\end{gathered}
$$

end.
If the matrices $A$ and $B$ are real, this algorithm requires respectably $O\left(12 k * m^{3}+8 n m^{2}+\right.$ $\left.4 n m^{3}+6 m^{3}\right)=O\left([4 n+12 k] m^{3}\right)$ flops and memory space of $(n+11) m^{2}+m$ real numbers, where $k$ is number of iterations (4).

For solving system (1) according to Step 3. we propose the following two algorithms:

## Algoritm M_R(1m)

1. Find vector $r=\hat{f}-\tilde{W} A^{-1} f_{n}$
2. Solve the linear system $M^{\prime} u=r$ by algorithm $\mathrm{M}_{-} \mathrm{R}$
3. Compute $N^{-1} \tilde{W}$ by (15).
4. Find $M^{-1} \tilde{W}$ by (14)
5. Find the vector $\hat{x}$ by (13)
6. Compute $\tilde{x}_{n}=A^{-1}\left[f_{n}-\tilde{W}^{*} \hat{x}\right]$
end.
If the matrices $A$ and $B$ are real, this algorithm requires respectably $O\left(2 m^{3}+[4(n-1)+12 k] m^{3}+\right.$ $\left.4 n m^{3}+2 n m^{3}+6 m^{3}+6 m^{2}\right)=O\left([10 n+12 k] m^{3}\right)$ flops and memory space of $(3 n+16) m^{2}+(n+2) m$ real numbers, where $k$ is number of iterations (4).

## Algorithm M_R(2m)

1. Solve the linear system $M x=f$ by algorithm $\mathrm{M}_{-} \mathrm{R}$
2. Compute $M^{-1} E_{1}$ and $M^{-1} E_{n}$ by (11)
3. Find the solution $\tilde{x}$ of (1) by (10)
end.
If the matrices $A$ and $B$ are real, this algorithm requires respectably $O\left([4 n+12 k] m^{3}+5 n m^{3}+\right.$ $\left.16 m^{3}\right)=O\left([9 n+12 k] m^{3}\right)$ flops and memory space of $(3 n+19) m^{2}+(n+3) m$ real numbers, where $k$ is number of iterations (4).

For comparison we describe the next algorithm which realize the idea proposed in [3].

## Algorithm M_RF(2m)

1. Solve the linear system $M x=f$ by algorithm $\mathrm{M}_{\mathbf{n}} \mathrm{R}$
2. Compute $M^{-1} E_{1}$ and $M^{-1} E_{n}$ by successive calculation of $2 m$ linear system of the form (7) with right hend side different columns of $E_{1}$ and $E_{n}$.
3. Find the solution $\tilde{x}$ of (1) by (10)
end.
If the matrices $A$ and $B$ are real, this algorithm requires respectably $O\left([4 n+12 k] m^{3}+20 \mathrm{~nm}^{3}+\right.$ $\left.16 m^{3}\right)=O\left([24 n+12 k] m^{3}\right)$ flops and memory space of $(3 n+15) m^{2}+(2 n+3) m$ real numbers, where $k$ is number of iterations (4).

## 4 Numerical experiments

We cared out the numerical experiments for solving linear system (1) with exact solution $\tilde{x}=(1,1, \ldots, 1)^{T}$ and real symmetric matrix $M$, with different block size $n$. The cells $A$ and $B$ are chosen in such a way that guarantied existence the solution of the matrix equation (4). The codes of the programs was
written in MATLAB and computations was done on a PENTIUM computer. The results from the experiments are given in separate tables for each example.

The following notations are used: LU is a program, which realize classical LU factorization; CHOL is a program, which realize classical Cholesky factorizatio; Iter is the number of iteration for solving the matrix equation (4) and Err. $=\|\tilde{x}-\tilde{\tilde{x}}\|_{\infty}$, where $\tilde{\tilde{x}}$ is the computed solution.

Table 1 reports flops and memory space for each programs.
Table 1.Flops and memory space

| Algorithm | flops | memory space |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| LU | $\frac{41 n}{3} m^{3}+O\left(n m^{2}\right)$ | $(5 n-3) m^{2}+2 n m$ |
| CHOL | $\frac{31 n}{3} m^{3}+O\left(n m^{2}\right)$ | $\frac{5 n}{2} m^{2}+\frac{3 n}{2} m$ |
| M_R $(1 \mathrm{~m})$ | $(10 n+12$ Iter $) m^{3}+O\left(n m^{2}\right)$ | $(3 n+16) m^{2}+(n+2) m$ |
| M_R $(2 \mathrm{~m})$ | $(9 n+12$ Iter $) m^{3}+O\left(n m^{2}\right)$ | $(3 n+19) m^{2}+(n+3) m$ |
| M_RF $(2 \mathrm{~m})$ | $(24 n+12$ Iter $) m^{3}+O\left(n m^{2}\right)$ | $(3 n+15) m^{2}+(2 n+3) m$ |

## Example 1.

Let $A=\operatorname{circ}(20,-8,1, \ldots, 1,-8), B=I$.
Here $\|\tilde{B}\|_{2}=\left\|A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{2}=0.1667$. To reach the requested accuracy algorihm M_R needs of 5 iterations.

Table 2.

| $n=2^{6}=64$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algorithm | $m=5$ |  | $m=7$ |  | $m=10$ |  |
|  | Err. | time | Err. | time | Err. | time |
| LU | $6.6613 \mathrm{e}-016$ | 0.14 | $7.7716 \mathrm{e}-016$ | 0.19 | $1.3323 \mathrm{e}-015$ | 0.18 |
| CHOL | $1.1102 \mathrm{e}-015$ | 0.11 | $6.6613 \mathrm{e}-016$ | 0.16 | 8.8818e-016 | 0.16 |
| M_R(1m) | $4.4892 \mathrm{e}-015$ | 0.08 | $4.4603 \mathrm{e}-015$ | 0.11 | $1.1829 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 0.11 |
| M_R(2m) | $4.3780 \mathrm{e}-015$ | 0.06 | $4.4033 \mathrm{e}-015$ | 0.06 | $1.1764 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 0.08 |
| M_RF(2m) | $4.3780 \mathrm{e}-015$ | 0.16 | $4.4033 \mathrm{e}-015$ | 0.17 | $1.1775 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 0.23 |
| $n=2^{8}=256$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LU | $6.6613 \mathrm{e}-016$ | 0.43 | $7.7716 \mathrm{e}-016$ | 0.55 | $1.3323 \mathrm{e}-015$ | 0.72 |
| CHOL | $1.1102 \mathrm{e}-015$ | 0.38 | $6.6613 \mathrm{e}-016$ | 0.44 | 8.8818e-016 | 0.60 |
| M_R(1m) | $1.0148 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 0.33 | $9.7788 \mathrm{e}-015$ | 0.36 | $2.4572 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 0.41 |
| M_R(2m) | $1.0099 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 0.28 | $9.7529 \mathrm{e}-015$ | 0.30 | $2.4541 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 0.35 |
| M_RF(2m) | $1.0099 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 0.77 | $9.7529 \mathrm{e}-015$ | 1.05 | $2.4546 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 1.54 |
| $n=2^{10}=1024$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LU | $6.6613 \mathrm{e}-016$ | 1.97 | $7.7716 \mathrm{e}-016$ | 2.53 | $1.3323 \mathrm{e}-015$ | 4.78 |
| CHOL | $1.1102 \mathrm{e}-015$ | 1.59 | $6.6613 \mathrm{e}-016$ | 1.82 | $8.8818 \mathrm{e}-016$ | 3.24 |
| M_R(1m) | $2.0840 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 1.26 | $1.9964 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 1.39 | $4.9590 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 1.98 |
| M_R(2m) | $2.0816 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 1.21 | $1.9951 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 1.26 | $4.9575 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 1.71 |
| M_RF(2m) | $2.0816 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 5.22 | $1.9951 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 7.86 | $4.9577 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 13.61 |
| $n=2^{12}=4096$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LU | $6.6613 \mathrm{e}-016$ | 10.820 | $7.7716 \mathrm{e}-016$ | 13.35 | $1.3323 \mathrm{e}-015$ | 37.52 |
| CHOL | $1.1102 \mathrm{e}-015$ | 7.74 | $6.6613 \mathrm{e}-016$ | 8.63 | $8.8818 \mathrm{e}-016$ | 22.08 |
| M_R(1m) | $4.1947 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 6.32 | $4.0128 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 6.87 | $9.9402 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 11.09 |
| M_R(2m) | $4.1936 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 6.10 | $4.0121 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 6.45 | $9.9394 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 9.33 |
| M_RF(2m) | $4.1936 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 51.41 | $4.0121 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 92.0 | $9.9396 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 205.35 |

Example 1: Time for implementation (in seconds) and errors

## Example 2.

Let cells of the matrix $M$ are the matrices of example 5.2 from [8] i.e. $A=I$ and $B$ is symmetric, nonnegative and such that $B e=(1 / 2-\alpha) e$, where $e$ is the vector heaving all the entries equal to 1 . $\|\tilde{B}\|_{2}=\left\|A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{2}=1 / 2-\alpha$. For $\alpha=0.4 ;\|\tilde{B}\|_{2}=0.1$. To reach the requested accuracy algorihm M_R needs of 4 iterations.

Table 3.

| $n=2^{6}=64$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algorithm | $m=3$ |  | $m=5$ |  | $m=10$ |  |
|  | Err. | time | Err. | time | Err. | time |
| LU | $6.6613 \mathrm{e}-016$ | 0.11 | $4.4409 \mathrm{e}-016$ | 0.11 | $6.6613 \mathrm{e}-016$ | 0.22 |
| CHOL | $4.4409 \mathrm{e}-016$ | 0.05 | $5.5511 \mathrm{e}-016$ | 0.11 | 8.8818e-016 | 0.16 |
| M_R(1m) | $2.7104 \mathrm{e}-015$ | 0.05 | $3.3381 \mathrm{e}-015$ | 0.11 | $7.9005 \mathrm{e}-015$ | 0.11 |
| M_R(2m) | $2.5847 \mathrm{e}-015$ | 0.11 | $3.0707 \mathrm{e}-015$ | 0.05 | $7.6902 \mathrm{e}-015$ | 0.06 |
| M_RF(2m) | $2.5847 \mathrm{e}-015$ | 0.16 | $3.0707 \mathrm{e}-015$ | 0.16 | $7.6878 \mathrm{e}-015$ | 0.22 |
| $n=2^{8}=256$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LU | $6.6613 \mathrm{e}-016$ | 0.39 | $4.4409 \mathrm{e}-016$ | 0.50 | $6.6613 \mathrm{e}-016$ | 0.77 |
| CHOL | $4.4409 \mathrm{e}-016$ | 0.33 | $5.5511 \mathrm{e}-016$ | 0.39 | $8.8818 \mathrm{e}-016$ | 0.66 |
| M_R(1m) | $5.5689 \mathrm{e}-015$ | 0.28 | $6.0971 \mathrm{e}-015$ | 0.28 | $1.5565 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 0.44 |
| M_R(2m) | $5.5088 \mathrm{e}-015$ | 0.27 | $5.9550 \mathrm{e}-015$ | 0.27 | $1.5460 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 0.38 |
| M_RF(2m) | $5.5088 \mathrm{e}-015$ | 0.60 | $5.9550 \mathrm{e}-015$ | 0.77 | $1.5458 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 1.53 |
| $n=2^{10}=1024$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LU | $6.6613 \mathrm{e}-016$ | 1.70 | $4.4409 \mathrm{e}-016$ | 2.52 | $6.6613 \mathrm{e}-016$ | 4.83 |
| CHOL | $4.4409 \mathrm{e}-016$ | 1.37 | $5.5511 \mathrm{e}-016$ | 1.65 | $8.8818 \mathrm{e}-016$ | 3.24 |
| M_R(1m) | $1.1211 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 1.18 | $1.1887 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 1.27 | $3.1012 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 1.92 |
| M_R(2m) | $1.1181 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 1.12 | $1.1815 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 1.21 | $3.0959 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 1.76 |
| M_RF(2m) | $1.1181 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 3.30 | $1.1815 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 5.27 | $3.0958 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 13.35 |
| $n=2^{12}=4096$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LU | $6.6613 \mathrm{e}-016$ | 9.29 | $4.4409 \mathrm{e}-016$ | 10.54 | $6.6613 \mathrm{e}-016$ | 36.53 |
| CHOL | $4.4409 \mathrm{e}-016$ | 6.87 | $5.5511 \mathrm{e}-016$ | 7.75 | $8.8818 \mathrm{e}-016$ | 22.13 |
| M_R(1m) | $2.2457 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 14.18 | $2.3618 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 6.37 | $6.1964 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 10.93 |
| M_R(2m) | $2.2442 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 13.50 | $2.3582 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 6.15 | $6.1937 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 9.39 |
| M_RF(2m) | $2.2442 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 25.27 | $2.3582 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 0.75 | $6.1937 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 207.24 |

Example 2: $(\alpha=0.4)$ Time for implementation (in seconds) and errors

## Example 3.

The cells $A$ and $B$ are chosen like in example 7.3 from [7] i.e.

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{rrr}
1.20 & -0.30 & 0.10 \\
-0.30 & 2.10 & 0.20 \\
0.10 & 0.20 & 0.65
\end{array}\right), \quad B=\left(\begin{array}{rrr}
0.37 & 0.13 & 0.12 \\
-0.30 & 0.34 & 0.12 \\
0.11 & -0.17 & 0.29
\end{array}\right)
$$

In this case $\|\tilde{B}\|_{2}=\left\|A^{-\frac{1}{2}} B A^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right\|_{2}=0.511$. To reach the requested accuracy algorihm M_R needs of 10 iterations.

Table 4.

| $m=3$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Algorithm | $n=2^{6}=64$ | $n=2^{10}=1024$ |  |  |  |
|  | Err. | time | Err. | time |  |
| LU | $2.4647 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 0.11 | $2.0384 \mathrm{e}-013$ | 1.76 |  |
| CHOL | $2.7978 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 0.11 | $2.2382 \mathrm{e}-013$ | 1.42 |  |
| M_R $(1 \mathrm{~m})$ | $2.9759 \mathrm{e}-013$ | 0.06 | $1.5230 \mathrm{e}-012$ | 1.20 |  |
| M_R $(2 \mathrm{~m})$ | $9.1983 \mathrm{e}-013$ | 0.06 | $1.0394 \mathrm{e}-012$ | 1.15 |  |
| M_RF 2 m$)$ | $2.7810 \mathrm{e}-013$ | 0.17 | $9.8328 \mathrm{e}-013$ | 3.24 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LU | $n=2^{8}=256$ | $n=2^{12}=4096$ |  |  |  |
| CHOL | $2.8644 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 0.38 | $2.5291 \mathrm{e}-013$ | 9.88 |  |
| M_R $(1 \mathrm{~m})$ | $4.1522 \mathrm{e}-014$ | 0.33 | $2.6268 \mathrm{e}-013$ | 7.36 |  |
| M_R $(2 \mathrm{~m})$ | $5.4734 \mathrm{e}-013$ | 0.28 | $1.5286 \mathrm{e}-011$ | 6.41 |  |
| M_RF $(2 \mathrm{~m})$ | $7.9020 \mathrm{e}-013$ | 0.27 | $1.4844 \mathrm{e}-011$ | 5.93 |  |

Example 3: Time for implementation (in seconds) and errors

## 5 Conclusions

The proposed new algorithms M_R(1m) and M_R(2m) are most effective. We recomend the algorithm M_R(2m) for solving (1) because for realization Step 3 it essentially uses the results obtained in Step 2 , which leads to decreasing the flops and time for implementation.
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